arXiv:0705.0427v2 [math-ph] 14 Sep 2007

The Intergals of Motion for the Deformed Virasoro Algebra

February 1, 2008

Dedicated to Professor Masaki Kashiwara on the occasion on the 60th birthday

Boris FEIGIN [α], Takeo KOJIMA [β], Jun'ichi SHIRAISHI [γ], Hidekazu WATANABE [γ]

[α] *L.D.Landau Institute for Theoretical Sciences,*
Chernogolovka, Moscow 142432, RUSSIA
[β] *Department of Mathematics, College of Science and Technology, Nihon University,*
Surugadai, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101-0062, JAPAN
[γ] *Graduate School of Mathematical Science, University of Tokyo,*
Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo, 153-8914, JAPAN

Abstract

We explicitly construct two classes of infinitly many commutative operators in terms of the deformed Virasoro algebra. We call one of them local integrals and the other nonlocal one, since they can be regarded as elliptic deformations of the local and nonlocal integrals of motion obtained by V.Bazhanov, S.Lukyanov and Al.Zamolodchikov [1].

1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to construct two classes of infinitly many commutative operators, in terms of the deformed Virasoro algebra. Let us recall some facts about soliton equation. The classical sine-Gordon equation

$$\partial_\tau \partial_t \phi(t,\tau) = e^{\phi(t,\tau)} - e^{-\phi(t,\tau)}, \tag{1.1}$$

is one of the simplest example of the Toda field theory. One of the authors and E.Frenkel [4] considered the so-called local integrals of motion $I^{(cl)}$ for the classical sine-Gordon theory

$$\{I^{(cl)}, H^{(cl)}\}_{P.B.} = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

where $H^{(cl)} = \frac{1}{2}\int (e^{\phi(t)} + e^{-\phi(t)})dt$ is the Hamiltonian. They showed the existence of infinitly many commutative integrals of motion by a cohomological argumemnt, and showed that they can be regarded as the conservation laws for the KdV equation

$$\partial_\tau W(\tau,t) = \partial_t^3 W(\tau,t) + 3W(\tau,t)\partial_t W(\tau,t). \tag{1.3}$$

They gave similar construction for the Toda field theory associated with the root system of finite and affine type.

In [4] they constructed the quantum deformtion of the local integrals of motion. In other words they showed the existence of quantum deformation of the conservation laws of the KdV equation. After quantization Gel'fand-Dickij bracket $\{,\}_{P.B.}$ for the second Hamiltonian structure of the KdV, gives rise to the Virasoro algebra

$$[L_m, L_n] = (m-n)L_{m+n} + \frac{c_{CFT}}{12}\delta_{m+n,0}. \tag{1.4}$$

V.Bazhanov, S.Lukyanov, Al.Zamolodchikov [1] constructed field theoretical analogue of the commuting transfer matrix $\mathbf{T}(z)$, acting on the irreducible highest weight module of the Virasoro algebra. They constructed this commuting transfer matrix $\mathbf{T}(z)$ as the trace of the monodromy matrix associated with the quantum affine symmetry $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$, and commutatin relation $[\mathbf{T}(z), \mathbf{T}(w)] = 0$ is a direct consequence of the Yang-Baxter relation. The coefficients of the asymptotic expansion of the operator $\log \mathbf{T}(z)$ at $z \to \infty$, produce the local integrals of motion for the Virasoro algebra, which recover the conservation laws of the KdV equation in the classical limit $c_{CFT} \to \infty$. They call the coefficients of the Taylor expansion of the operator $\mathbf{T}(z)$ at $z = 0$, the nonlocal integrals of motion for the Virasoro algebra. They have explicit integral representation in terms of the screening currents.

The purpose of this paper is to construct the elliptic version of the integrals of motion given by V.Bazhanov, S.Lukyanov, Al.Zamolodchikov [1]. Their construction is based on the free field realization of the Borel subalgebra $\mathcal{B}_\pm$ of $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$ in terms of the screening currents, which cannot be extended to the full symmetry $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$. By using this realization they construct the monodromy matrix as the image of the universal R-matrix $\bar{\mathcal{R}} \in \mathcal{B}_+ \otimes \mathcal{B}_-$, and derive the transfer matrix $\mathbf{T}(z)$ as the trace of this monodromy matrix. Because the universl R-matrix $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$ of the elliptic quantum group does not exist in the tensor of the Borel subalgebras $\mathcal{B}_+ \otimes \mathcal{B}_-$, it is impossible to construct the elliptic deformation of the transfer matris $\mathbf{T}(z)$ as the same manner as [1]. Hence our method of construction should be completely different from those of [1]. Instead of considering the transfer mtrix $\mathbf{T}(z)$, we directly give the integral representations of the integrals of motion $\mathcal{I}_n, \mathcal{G}_n$ for the deformed Virasoro algebra. The commutativity of the integrals of motion are not understood as a direct consequence of the Yang-Baxter equation. They are understood as a consequence of the commutative subalgebra of the Feigin-Odesskii algebra [5].

We would like to mention about two important degenerating limits of the deformed Virasoro algebra. One is the CFT-limit[1] and the other is the classical limit[6]. In the CFT-limit V.Bazhanov, S.Lukyanov and Al.Zamolodchikov [1] constructed infinitly many integrals of motion for the Virasoro algebra, as we mentioned above. In the classical limit, the deformed Virasoro algebra degenerates to the Poisson-Virasoro algebra introduced by E.Frenkel and N.Reshetikhin [6]. In the classical limit, E.Frenkel [7] constructed infinitly many integrals of motion for the Poisson-Virasoro algebra.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we review the deformed Virasoro algebra, including free field realization, screening currents [3, 4]. In Section 3, we give explicit formulae for the local integrals of motion $\mathcal{I}_n$, and show the commutation relation $[\mathcal{I}_m, \mathcal{I}_n] = 0$ and Dynkin-automorphis invariance $\eta(\mathcal{I}_n) = \mathcal{I}_n$. In Section 4, we give explicit formulae for the nonlocal integrals of motion $\mathcal{G}_n$, and show the commutation relation $[\mathcal{G}_m, \mathcal{G}_n] = 0$, $[\mathcal{I}_m, \mathcal{G}_n] = 0$ and the Dynkin-automorphis invariance $\eta(\mathcal{G}_n) = \mathcal{G}_n$. In Section 5, we study specialization to $s = 2$. We discuss about relation to the Poisson-

Virasoro algebra [6, 7] and compare our results with those of CFT by [1]. In Appendix we summarize the normal ordering of the basic operators.

2 The Deformed Virasoro Algebra

In this section we review the deformed Virasoro algebra and its screening currents [3, 4]. We prepare the notations to be used in this paper. Throughout this paper, we fix generic three parameters $0 < x < 1$, $r \in \mathbb{C}$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$. Let us set $z = x^{2u}$. Let us set $r^* = r - 1$. The symbol $[u]_r$ for $\mathrm{Re}(r) > 0$ stands for the Jacobi theta function

$$[u]_r = x^{\frac{u^2}{r}-u}\frac{\Theta_{x^{2r}}(x^{2u})}{(x^{2r};x^{2r})^3}, \quad \Theta_q(z) = (z;q)_\infty (qz^{-1};q)_\infty (q;q)_\infty, \tag{2.1}$$

where we have used the standard notation

$$(z;q)_\infty = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty}(1-q^j z). \tag{2.2}$$

We set the parametrizations τ, τ^*

$$x = e^{-\pi\sqrt{-1}/r\tau} = e^{-\pi\sqrt{-1}/r^*\tau^*}. \tag{2.3}$$

The theta function $[u]_r$ enjoys the quasi-periodicity property

$$[u+r]_r = -[u]_r, \quad [u+r\tau]_r = -e^{-\pi\sqrt{-1}\tau - \frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{r}u}[u]_r. \tag{2.4}$$

The symbol $[a]$ stands for

$$[a] = \frac{x^a - x^{-a}}{x - x^{-1}}. \tag{2.5}$$

2.1 Free Field Realization

Let β_m^1, β_m^2 be the oscillators ($m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$) with the commutation relations

$$[\beta_n^i, \beta_m^i] = n\frac{[r^*n]}{[rn]}\frac{[(s-1)n]}{[sn]}\delta_{n+m,0} \ (i=1,2), \tag{2.6}$$

$$[\beta_n^i, \beta_m^j] = -n\frac{[r^*n]}{[rn]}\frac{[n]}{[sn]}x^{sn\ \mathrm{sgn}(i-j)}\delta_{n+m,0} \ (1 \leqq i \neq j \leqq 2). \tag{2.7}$$

Let P, Q be the zero mode operators with the commutation realtions

$$[P, iQ] = 2. \tag{2.8}$$

Let us map η on this algebra by

$$\eta(\beta_m^1) = x^{-sm}\beta_m^2, \quad \eta(\beta_m^2) = x^{sm}\beta_m^1, \quad \eta(P) = -P, \quad \eta(Q) = -Q. \tag{2.9}$$

It preserves the commutation relation, $[\beta_n^i, \beta_m^j] = [\eta(\beta_n^i), \eta(\beta_m^j)]$, $[P, iQ] = [\eta(P), \eta(iQ)]$, $\eta^2 = id$. In what follows we call the map η Dynkin-automorphism.

We deal with the bosonic Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{l,k}(l, k \in \mathbb{Z})$ generated by $\beta_{-m}^i (m > 0, i = 1, 2)$ over the vacuum vectors $|l, k\rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_m^j |l, k\rangle &= 0, (m > 0, j = 1, 2), && (2.10)\\
P|l, k\rangle &= (l\sqrt{\frac{r}{r^*}} - k\sqrt{\frac{r^*}{r}})|l, k\rangle, && (2.11)\\
|l, k\rangle &= e^{(l\sqrt{\frac{r}{r^*}} - k\sqrt{\frac{r^*}{r}})\frac{i}{2}Q}|0, 0\rangle. && (2.12)
\end{aligned}$$

We use the abberiviation $\hat{\pi} = \sqrt{rr^*}P$. In what follows we work on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{l,k}$ with fixed values $l, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

2.2 The Deformed Virasoro Algebra

Let us set the fundamental operators $\Lambda_j(z)$ $(j = 1, 2)$ associated with vertex of Dynkin-diagram of the affine symmetry $A_1^{(1)}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_1(z) &= x^{-\hat{\pi}} : \exp\left(\sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{1}{m}(x^{rm} - x^{-rm})\beta_m^1 z^{-m}\right) :, && (2.13)\\
\Lambda_2(z) &= x^{\hat{\pi}} : \exp\left(\sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{1}{m}(x^{rm} - x^{-rm})\beta_m^2 z^{-m}\right) : . && (2.14)
\end{aligned}$$

Here the symbol $: * :$ stands for usual normal ordering of bosons, *i.e.*, β_m^i with $m > 0$ should be moved to the right. Let us set the operators $T_1(z), T_2(z)$ by

$$T_1(z) = \Lambda_1(z) + \Lambda_2(z), \quad T_2(z) =: \Lambda_1(x^{-1}z)\Lambda_2(xz) : . \tag{2.15}$$

Proposition 2.1 *The operators* $T_j(z)$ $(j = 1, 2)$ *satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned}
& f_{11}(z_2/z_1)T_1(z_1)T_1(z_2) - f_{11}(z_1/z_2)T_1(z_2)T_1(z_1) \\
= \;& c(\delta(x^2z_2/z_1)T_2(x^{-1}z_1) - \delta(x^2z_1/z_2)T_2(x^{-1}z_2)), && (2.16)\\
& f_{12}(z_2/z_1)T_1(z_1)T_2(z_2) = f_{21}(z_1/z_2)T_2(z_2)T_1(z_1), && (2.17)\\
& f_{22}(z_2/z_1)T_2(z_1)T_2(z_2) = f_{22}(z_1/z_2)T_2(z_2)T_2(z_1). && (2.18)
\end{aligned}$$

Here the structure functions $f_{ij}(z)$ and the constant c are given by

$$f_{11}(z) = \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m}(1-x^{2rm})(1-x^{-2r^*m})\frac{(1-x^{2m(s-1)})}{(1-x^{2sm})}z^m\right), \tag{2.19}$$

$$f_{12}(z) = \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m}(1-x^{2rm})(1-x^{-2r^*m})\frac{(1-x^{2m(s-2)})}{(1-x^{2sm})}(xz)^m\right) = f_{21}(z), \tag{2.20}$$

$$f_{22}(z) = \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m}(1-x^{2rm})(1-x^{-2r^*m})\frac{(1+x^{2m})(1-x^{2m(s-2)})}{(1-x^{2sm})}z^m\right), \tag{2.21}$$

$$c = -\frac{(1-x^{-2r^*})(1-x^{2r})}{(1-x^2)}. \tag{2.22}$$

Note that the structure functions $f_{11}(z), f_{12}(z) = f_{21}(z)$ and $f_{22}(z)$ enjoy the fusion properties

$$f_{11}(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)}\frac{(x^{2s-2}z;x^{2s})_\infty(x^{2r}z;x^{2s})_\infty(x^{-2r^*}z;x^{2s})_\infty}{(x^2z;x^{2s})_\infty(x^{2r^*+2s}z;x^{2s})_\infty(x^{2s-2r}z;x^{2s})_\infty}, \tag{2.23}$$

$$f_{12}(z) = \frac{f_{11}(xz)f_{11}(x^{-1}z)}{\Delta(z)} = f_{21}(z),\ \ f_{22}(z) = f_{12}(x^{-1}z)f_{21}(xz), \tag{2.24}$$

where we have set

$$\Delta(z) = \frac{(1-x^{r+r^*}z)(1-x^{-r-r^*}z)}{(1-xz)(1-x^{-1}z)}. \tag{2.25}$$

The Dynkin automorphism η acts on $\Lambda_j(z)$ as

$$\eta(\Lambda_1(z)) = \Lambda_2(x^s z), \quad \eta(\Lambda_2(z)) = \Lambda_1(x^{-s}z). \tag{2.26}$$

Definition 2.1 *The deformed Virsoro algebra is defined by the generators $\widehat{T}^{(1)}_m$, $\widehat{T}^{(2)}_m$, $(m \in \mathbb{Z})$ with the defining relations (2.16), (2.17) and (2.18). Here we should understand $\widehat{T}^{(1)}_m$, $\widehat{T}^{(2)}_m$ as the Fourier coefficients of the operators $\widehat{T}_1(z) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\widehat{T}^{(1)}_m z^{-m}$, $\widehat{T}_2(z) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\widehat{T}^{(2)}_m z^{-m}$.*

2.3 Screening Current

We introduce the screening currents $F_j(z), E_j(z)$ $(j = 0, 1)$ as

$$F_1(z) = e^{i\sqrt{\frac{r^*}{r}}Q}z^{\frac{1}{r}\hat{\pi}+\frac{r^*}{r}} : \exp\left(\sum_{m\neq 0}\frac{1}{m}(\beta^1_m - \beta^2_m)z^{-m}\right) :, \tag{2.27}$$

$$F_0(z) = e^{-i\sqrt{\frac{r^*}{r}}Q} z^{-\frac{1}{r}\hat{\pi}+\frac{r^*}{r}} :\exp\left(\sum_{m\neq 0}\frac{1}{m}(-x^{sm}\beta^1_m + x^{-sm}\beta^2_m)z^{-m}\right):, \tag{2.28}$$

$$E_1(z) = e^{-i\sqrt{\frac{r}{r^*}}Q} z^{-\frac{1}{r^*}\hat{\pi}+\frac{r}{r^*}} :\exp\left(-\sum_{m\neq 0}\frac{1}{m}\frac{[rm]}{[r^*m]}(\beta^1_m - \beta^2_m)z^{-m}\right):, \tag{2.29}$$

$$E_0(z) = e^{i\sqrt{\frac{r}{r^*}}Q} z^{\frac{1}{r^*}\hat{\pi}+\frac{r}{r^*}} :\exp\left(-\sum_{m\neq 0}\frac{1}{m}\frac{[rm]}{[r^*m]}(-x^{sm}\beta^1_m + x^{-sm}\beta^2_m)z^{-m}\right):. \tag{2.30}$$

We summarize convenient commutation relations

$$[\beta^1_m - \beta^2_m, \beta^1_n - \beta^2_n] = m\frac{[r^*m]}{[rm]}(x^m + x^{-m})\delta_{m+n,0}, \tag{2.31}$$

$$[\beta^1_m - \beta^2_m, -x^{sn}\beta^1_n + x^{-sn}\beta^2_n] = m\frac{[r^*m]}{[rm]}(x^{(s-1)m} + x^{(1-s)m})\delta_{m+n,0}, \tag{2.32}$$

$$[-x^{sm}\beta^1_m + x^{-sm}\beta^2_m, -x^{sn}\beta^1_n + x^{-sn}\beta^2_n] = m\frac{[r^*m]}{[rm]}(x^m + x^{-m})\delta_{m+n,0}. \tag{2.33}$$

The Dynkin-automorphism η maps the screening currents as

$$\eta(F_1(z)) = F_0(z), \quad \eta(F_0(z)) = F_1(z), \quad \eta(E_1(z)) = E_0(z), \quad \eta(E_0(z)) = E_1(z). \tag{2.34}$$

The adjoint actions of the operator $T_1(z) = \Lambda_1(z) + \Lambda_2(z)$ on the screening currents $F_j(z), E_j(z)$ can be regarded as the differences of currents $\mathcal{A}(z), \mathcal{B}(z)$.

Proposition 2.2 *The adjoint actions of $\Lambda_j(z)$ on the screenings $F_j(z), E_j(z)$ are*

$$[\Lambda_1(z_1), F_1(z_2)] = (x^{-r^*} - x^{r^*})\delta(x^r z_1/z_2)\mathcal{A}(x^{-r}z_2), \tag{2.35}$$

$$[\Lambda_2(z_1), F_1(z_2)] = (x^{r^*} - x^{-r^*})\delta(x^{-r} z_1/z_2)\mathcal{A}(x^{r}z_2), \tag{2.36}$$

$$[\Lambda_1(z_1), F_0(z_2)] = (x^{r^*} - x^{-r^*})\delta(x^{-r+s} z_1/z_2)\eta(\mathcal{A}(x^{r}z_2)), \tag{2.37}$$

$$[\Lambda_2(z_1), F_0(z_2)] = (x^{-r^*} - x^{r^*})\delta(x^{r-s} z_1/z_2)\eta(\mathcal{A}(x^{-r}z_2)), \tag{2.38}$$

$$[\Lambda_1(z_1), E_1(z_2)] = (x^{r} - x^{-r})\delta(x^{-r^*} z_1/z_2)\mathcal{B}(x^{r^*}z_2), \tag{2.39}$$

$$[\Lambda_2(z_1), E_1(z_2)] = (x^{-r} - x^{r})\delta(x^{r^*} z_1/z_2)\mathcal{B}(x^{-r^*}z_2), \tag{2.40}$$

$$[\Lambda_1(z_1), E_0(z_2)] = (x^{-r} - x^{r})\delta(x^{r^*+s} z_1/z_2)\eta(\mathcal{B}(x^{-r^*}z_2)), \tag{2.41}$$

$$[\Lambda_2(z_1), E_0(z_2)] = (x^{r} - x^{-r})\delta(x^{-r^*-s} z_1/z_2)\eta(\mathcal{B}(x^{r^*}z_2)). \tag{2.42}$$

Here we have set

$$\mathcal{A}(z) = e^{i\sqrt{\frac{r^*}{r}}Q} z^{\frac{1}{r}\hat{\pi}+\frac{r^*}{r}} :\exp\left(\sum_{m\neq 0}\frac{1}{m}(x^{rm}\beta^1_m - x^{-rm}\beta^2_m)z^{-m}\right):, \tag{2.43}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(z) = e^{-i\sqrt{\frac{r}{r^*}}Q} z^{-\frac{1}{r^*}\hat{\pi}+\frac{r}{r^*}} :\exp\left(\sum_{m\neq 0}\frac{1}{m}\frac{[rm]}{[r^*m]}(-x^{-r^*m}\beta^1_m + x^{r^*m}\beta^2_m)z^{-m}\right): \tag{2.44}$$

Proposition 2.3 *For regime* $\mathrm{Re}(r) > 0$, *the commutation relations of the currents* $F_j(z)$ *are given as*

$$\frac{[u_1-u_2]_r}{[u_1-u_2-1]_r}F_j(z_1)F_j(z_2) = \frac{[u_2-u_1]_r}{[u_2-u_1-1]_r}F_j(z_2)F_j(z_1), \ (j=1,2) \tag{2.45}$$

$$\frac{[u_1-u_2+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r}{[u_1-u_2+\frac{s}{2}]_r}F_0(z_1)F_1(z_2) = \frac{[u_2-u_1+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r}{[u_2-u_1+\frac{s}{2}]_r}F_1(z_2)F_0(z_1). \tag{2.46}$$

For regime $\mathrm{Re}(r) < 0$, *we have*

$$\frac{[u_1-u_2]_{-r}}{[u_1-u_2+1]_{-r}}F_j(z_1)F_j(z_2) = \frac{[u_2-u_1]_{-r}}{[u_2-u_1+1]_{-r}}F_j(z_2)F_j(z_1), \ (j=1,2) \tag{2.47}$$

$$\frac{[u_1-u_2-\frac{s}{2}+1]_{-r}}{[u_1-u_2-\frac{s}{2}]_{-r}}F_0(z_1)F_1(z_2) = \frac{[u_2-u_1-\frac{s}{2}+1]_{-r}}{[u_2-u_1-\frac{s}{2}]_{-r}}F_1(z_2)F_0(z_1). \tag{2.48}$$

For regime $\mathrm{Re}(r^*) > 0$, *we have*

$$\frac{[u_1-u_2]_{r^*}}{[u_1-u_2+1]_{r^*}}E_j(z_1)E_j(z_2) = \frac{[u_2-u_1]_{r^*}}{[u_2-u_1+1]_{r^*}}E_j(z_2)E_j(z_1), \ (j=1,2) \tag{2.49}$$

$$\frac{[u_1-u_2-\frac{s}{2}+1]_{r^*}}{[u_1-u_2-\frac{s}{2}]_{r^*}}E_0(z_1)E_1(z_2) = \frac{[u_2-u_1-\frac{s}{2}+1]_{r^*}}{[u_2-u_1-\frac{s}{2}]_{r^*}}E_1(z_2)E_0(z_1). \tag{2.50}$$

For regime $\mathrm{Re}(r^*) < 0$, *we have*

$$\frac{[u_1-u_2]_{-r^*}}{[u_1-u_2-1]_{-r^*}}E_j(z_1)E_j(z_2) = \frac{[u_2-u_1]_{-r^*}}{[u_2-u_1-1]_{-r^*}}E_j(z_2)E_j(z_1), \ (j=1,2) \tag{2.51}$$

$$\frac{[u_1-u_2+\frac{s}{2}-1]_{-r^*}}{[u_1-u_2+\frac{s}{2}]_{-r^*}}E_0(z_1)E_1(z_2) = \frac{[u_2-u_1+\frac{s}{2}-1]_{-r^*}}{[u_2-u_1+\frac{s}{2}]_{-r^*}}E_1(z_2)E_0(z_1). \tag{2.52}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}&[E_1(z_1),F_1(z_2)]\\ =\ & \frac{1}{x-x^{-1}}(\delta(xz_2/z_1)H(x^rz_2)-\delta(xz_1/z_2)H(x^{-r}z_2)),\end{aligned} \tag{2.53}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&[E_0(z_1),F_0(z_2)]\\ =\ & \frac{1}{x-x^{-1}}(\delta(xz_2/z_1)\eta(H(x^rz_2))-\delta(xz_1/z_2)\eta(H(x^{-r}z_2))).\end{aligned} \tag{2.54}$$

Here we have set

$$H(z) = e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{rr^*}}iQ}z^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{rr^*}}P+\frac{1}{rr^*}} :\exp\left(-\sum_{m\neq 0}\frac{1}{m}\frac{[m]}{[r^*m]}z^{-m}(\beta_m^1-\beta_m^2)\right): . \tag{2.55}$$

We have

$$E_1(z_1)F_0(z_2)=F_0(z_2)E_1(z_1), \quad E_0(z_1)F_1(z_2)=F_1(z_2)E_0(z_1). \tag{2.56}$$

2.4 Comparsion with another definition

At first glance, our definition of the deformed Virasoro is different from those in [3]. In this subsection we compare two definitions, and show they are essentially the same thing. We show that operators $T_1(z), T_2(z)$ are realized as the tensor product of the deformed Virasoro algebra $\mathcal{V}ir_{q,t}$, $(q = x^{2r}, t = x^{2r-2})$ and a proper operator $\mathcal{Z}(z)$. Let us set the auxiliary bosons B_m^1, B_m^2 by

$$B_m^1 = \beta_m^1 - \beta_m^2, \tag{2.57}$$

$$B_m^2 = x^m \beta_m^1 + x^{-m} \beta_m^2. \tag{2.58}$$

We have

$$[B_n^1, B_m^1] = n \frac{[r^* n]}{[rn]} (x^n + x^{-n}) \delta_{m+n,0}, \quad [B_n^1, B_m^2] = 0, \tag{2.59}$$

$$[B_n^2, B_m^2] = n \frac{[r^* n][(s-2)n]}{[rn][sn]} (x^n + x^{-n}) \delta_{m+n,0} \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}). \tag{2.60}$$

Then we have the following decomposition

$$\Lambda_1(z) = \Lambda_1^{DV}(z) \mathcal{Z}(z), \quad \Lambda_2(z) = \Lambda_2^{DV}(z) \mathcal{Z}(z), \tag{2.61}$$

where we have set

$$\Lambda_1^{DV}(z) = x^{-\hat{\pi}} : \exp\left(\sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{1}{m} \frac{x^{rm} - x^{-rm}}{x^m + x^{-m}} B_m^1 (xz)^{-m} \right) :, \tag{2.62}$$

$$\Lambda_2^{DV}(z) = x^{-\hat{\pi}} : \exp\left(-\sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{1}{m} \frac{x^{rm} - x^{-rm}}{x^m + x^{-m}} B_m^1 (x^{-1} z)^{-m} \right) :, \tag{2.63}$$

$$\mathcal{Z}(z) = : \exp\left(\sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{1}{m} \frac{x^{rm} - x^{-rm}}{x^m + x^{-m}} B_m^2 z^{-m} \right) : . \tag{2.64}$$

Here we have

$$T_1(z) = T^{DV}(z) \mathcal{Z}(z), \quad T_2(z) =: \mathcal{Z}(x^{-1} z) \mathcal{Z}(xz) :, \tag{2.65}$$

where

$$T^{DV}(z) = \Lambda_1^{DV}(z) + \Lambda_2^{DV}(z). \tag{2.66}$$

Proposition 2.4 *The operator $T^{DV}(z)$ is the generting function of the deformed Virasoro algebra $\mathcal{V}ir_{q,t}$ defined in [3] with $q=x^{2r}, t=x^{2r-2}$*

$$\begin{aligned}&f^{DV}(z_2/z_1)T^{DV}(z_1)T^{DV}(z_2)-f^{DV}(z_1/z_2)T^{DV}(z_2)T^{DV}(z_1)\\&=c(\delta(x^2z_2/z_1)-\delta(x^2z_1/z_2)),\end{aligned}\tag{2.67}$$

where

$$f^{DV}(z) = \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m}\frac{(1-x^{2rm})(1-x^{-2r^*m})}{(1+x^{2m})}z^{-m}\right),\tag{2.68}$$

$$c = -\frac{(1-x^{-2r^*})(1-x^{2r})}{(1-x^2)}.\tag{2.69}$$

We have

$$T^{DV}(z_1)\mathcal{Z}(z_2) = \mathcal{Z}(z_2)T^{DV}(z_1),\tag{2.70}$$

$$f^{\mathcal{Z}}(z_2/z_1)\mathcal{Z}(z_1)\mathcal{Z}(z_2) = f^{\mathcal{Z}}(z_1/z_2)\mathcal{Z}(z_2)\mathcal{Z}(z_1),\tag{2.71}$$

where

$$f^{\mathcal{Z}}(z) = \exp\left(-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m}\frac{(1-x^{2rm})(1-x^{-2r^*m})(x^{2m}-x^{2(s-1)m})}{(1-x^{2sm})(1+x^{2m})}z^{-m}\right).\tag{2.72}$$

Therefore three parameter deformed Virasoro algebra $T_1(z), T_2(z)$ is realized as an extension of two parmeter deformed Virasoro algebra $T^{DV}(z)$. Note that upon the specializtion $s=2$ we have

$$[B_n^2, B_m^2]=0,\quad [B_n^1, B_m^2]=0,\quad f^{\mathcal{Z}}(z)=1.\tag{2.73}$$

Hence we can regard $B_m^2=0$ and $T_1(z)=T^{DV}(z), T_2(z)=1$.

3 Local Integrals of Motion $\mathcal{I}_n$

In this section we construct the local integrals of motion $\mathcal{I}_n$ and $\mathcal{I}_n^*$. We study the generic case : $0<x<1$, $r\in\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathrm{Re}(s)>0$.

3.1 Local Integral of Motion

Let us set the function $h(u)$ and $h^*(u)$ by

$$h(u) = \frac{[u]_s[u+r]_s}{[u+1]_s[u+r^*]_s},\quad h^*(u)=\frac{[u]_s[u-r^*]_s}{[u+1]_s[u-r]_s},\tag{3.1}$$

where we have set $z=x^{2u}$. We have $h^*(u)=h(u)|_{r\to -r^*}$.

Definition 3.1 *We define* $\mathcal{I}_n$ *for regime* $\mathrm{Re}(s)>2$ *and* $\mathrm{Re}(r^*)<0$ *by*

$$\mathcal{I}_n=\int\cdots\int_C\prod_{j=1}^n\frac{dz_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_j}\prod_{1\leqq j<k\leqq n}h(u_k-u_j)T_1(z_1)\cdots T_1(z_n)\quad(n=1,2,\cdots).\quad(3.2)$$

Here, the contour C *encircles* $z_j=0$ *in such a way that* $z_j=x^{-2+2sl}z_k, x^{-2r^*+2sl}z_k$ $(l=0,1,2,\cdots)$ *is inside and* $z_j=x^{2-2sl}z_k, x^{2r^*-2sl}z_k$ $(l=0,1,2,\cdots)$ *is outside for* $1\leqq j<k\leqq n$*. We define* $\mathcal{I}_n^*$ *for regime* $\mathrm{Re}(s)>2$ *and* $\mathrm{Re}(r)>0$ *by*

$$\mathcal{I}_n^*=\oint\cdots\oint_{C^*}\prod_{j=1}^n\frac{dz_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_j}\prod_{1\leqq j<k\leqq n}h^*(u_k-u_j)T_1(z_1)\cdots T_1(z_n)\quad(n=1,2,\cdots).\quad(3.3)$$

The contour C^* *encircles* $z_j=0$ *in such a way that* $z_j=x^{-2+2sl}z_k, x^{2r+2sl}z_k$ $(l=1,2,\cdots)$ *is inside and* $z_j=x^{2-2sl}z_k, x^{-2r-2sl}z_k$ $(l=1,2,\cdots)$ *is outside for* $1\leqq j<k\leqq n$*.*

We call $\mathcal{I}_n$ *and* $\mathcal{I}_n^*$ *the local integrals of motion for the deformed Virasoro algebra. The definitions of* $\mathcal{I}_n,\mathcal{I}_n^*$ *for generic* $\mathrm{Re}(s)>0$ *and* $r\in\mathbb{C}$ *should be understood as analytic continuation.*

We have the involution $\mathcal{I}_m^*=\mathcal{I}_m|_{r\to -r^*}$ and $\mathcal{I}_m=\mathcal{I}_m|_{r^*\to -r}$. The followings are some of **Main Results** of this paper.

Theorem 3.1 *The local integrals of motion* $\mathcal{I}_n$ *and* $\mathcal{I}_n^*$ *commute with each other*

$$[\mathcal{I}_n,\mathcal{I}_m]=[\mathcal{I}_n^*,\mathcal{I}_m^*]=0\quad(m,n=1,2,\cdots).\quad(3.4)$$

Theorem 3.2 *The local integrals of motion* $\mathcal{I}_n$ *and* $\mathcal{I}_n^*$ *are invariant under the action of the Dynkin-automorphism*

$$\eta(\mathcal{I}_n)=\mathcal{I}_n,\quad\eta(\mathcal{I}_n^*)=\mathcal{I}_n^*\quad(n=1,2,\cdots).\quad(3.5)$$

Conjecture 3.3

$$[\mathcal{I}_m,\mathcal{I}_n^*]=0\quad(m,n=1,2,\cdots).\quad(3.6)$$

3.2 Another Formulae

In this subsection we prepare another formulae of the local integrals of motion $\mathcal{I}_n$. Because the integral contour of the definition of the local integrals of motion $\mathcal{I}_n$ is not annulus. *i.e.* $|x^{-2}z_k| < |z_j| < |x^2 z_k|$, the defining relations of the deformed Virasoro (2.16), (2.17), (2.18) should be used carefully. Hence, in order to show the commutation relations $[\mathcal{I}_m, \mathcal{I}_n] = 0$, it is better for us to deform the integral representations of the local integrals of motion $\mathcal{I}_n$ to another formulae, in which the defining relations of the deformed Virasoro (2.16), (2.17), (2.18) can be used safely.

Let us set the auxiliary function $s(z)$ and $s^*(z)$ by $h(u) = s(z)f_{11}(z)$, $h^*(u) = s^*(z)f_{11}(z)$, where $h(u)$ and $f_{11}(z)$ are given in the previous section. We have explicitly

$$s(z) = x^{-2r^*}\frac{(z;x^{2s})_\infty (x^{2s-2r}z;x^{2s})_\infty}{(x^{2s-2}z;x^{2s})_\infty (x^{-2r^*}z;x^{2s})_\infty} \times \frac{(1/z;x^{2s})_\infty (x^{2s-2r}/z;x^{2s})_\infty}{(x^{2s-2}/z;x^{2s})_\infty (x^{-2r^*}/z;x^{2s})_\infty}, \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$s^*(z) = x^{2r}\frac{(z;x^{2s})_\infty (x^{2s+2r^*}z;x^{2s})_\infty}{(x^{2s-2}z;x^{2s})_\infty (x^{2r}z;x^{2s})_\infty} \times \frac{(1/z;x^{2s})_\infty (x^{2s+2r^*}/z;x^{2s})_\infty}{(x^{2s-2}/z;x^{2s})_\infty (x^{2r}/z;x^{2s})_\infty}. \quad (3.8)$$

Let us introduce a "weak sense" equality

Definition 3.2 *We say the operators $\mathcal{P}(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n)$ are equal in the "weak sense" if*

$$\prod_{1 \leqq i < j \leqq n} s(z_j/z_i)\mathcal{P}(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n) = \prod_{1 \leqq i < j \leqq n} s(z_j/z_i)\mathcal{Q}(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n). \quad (3.9)$$

We write $\mathcal{P}(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n) \sim \mathcal{Q}(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n)$, showing the weak equality.

For example $\delta(z_1/z_2) \sim 0$ and $\frac{1}{z_1 - z_2}\delta(z_1/z_2) \sim 0$.

Let us set the auxiliary functions $g_{11}(z), g_{12}(z)$ and $g_{22}(z)$ by fusion procedure

$$g_{12}(z) = g_{11}(xz)g_{11}(x^{-1}z) = g_{21}(z), \quad g_{22}(z) = g_{21}(x^{-1}z)g_{12}(xz), \quad (3.10)$$

where $g_{11}(z) = f_{11}(z)$ is defined in Proposition 2.1.

Proposition 3.4 *The following equalities hold in the weak sense*

$$\delta(x^2 z_3/z_2)g_{12}(x^{-1}z_2/z_1)T_1(z_1)T_2(x^{-1}z_2) \sim \delta(x^2 z_3/z_2)g_{21}(xz_1/z_2)T_2(x^{-1}z_2)T_1(z_1), \quad (3.11)$$

$$\prod_{j=3,4}\delta(x^2 z_j/z_1)g_{22}(z_2/z_1)T_2(z_1)T_2(z_2) \sim \prod_{j=3,4}\delta(x^2 z_j/z_1)g_{22}(z_1/z_2)T_2(z_2)T_2(z_1). \quad (3.12)$$

Proof. By defining relation of the deformation of the Virasoro algegra, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & g_{12}(x^{-1}z_2/z_1)T_1(z_1)T_2(x^{-1}z_2) - g_{21}(xz_1/z_2)T_2(x^{-1}z_2)T_1(z_1) \\ = \; & f_{12}(x^{-1}z_2/z_1)(\delta(z_2/z_1) - \delta(x^{-2}z_2/z_1))T_1(z_1)T_2(x^{-1}z_2), \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where we have used delta-function relation

$$\Delta(z) - \Delta(z^{-1}) = c(\delta(xz) - \delta(x^{-1}z)), \quad \Delta(z) = \frac{(1-x^{2r-1}z)(1-x^{-2r+1}z)}{(1-xz)(1-x^{-1}z)}. \tag{3.14}$$

Because $\prod_{1\leqq i<j\leqq 3} s(z_j/z_i)\delta(x^2z_3/z_2)f_{12}(x^{-1}z_2/z_1)(\delta(z_2/z_1)-\delta(x^{-2}z_2/z_1))T_1(z_1)T_2(x^{-1}z_2) = 0$, we conclude the first equation of proposition. The second equation is obtained in the same manner. Q.E.D.

Let us introduce S_n-invariant in the "weak sense".

Definition 3.3 *We call the operator $\mathcal{P}(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n)$ is S_n-invariant in the "weak sense" if*

$$\mathcal{P}(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n) \sim \mathcal{P}(z_{\sigma(1)}, z_{\sigma(2)}, \cdots, z_{\sigma(n)}), \quad (\sigma \in S_n). \tag{3.15}$$

Example The operator $\mathcal{O}_2(z_1, z_2) = g_{11}(z_2/z_1)T_1(z_1)T_1(z_2) - c\delta(x^2z_2/z_1)T_2(x^{-1}z_1)$ is S_2-invariant.

In what follows we use the notation of the ordered product

$$\prod_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ l\in L}} T_1(z_l) = T_1(z_{l_1})T_1(z_{l_2})\cdots T_1(z_{l_n}), \quad (L = \{l_1, \cdots, l_n | l_1 < l_2 < \cdots < l_n\}). \tag{3.16}$$

Let us set the auxiliarry operator $\mathcal{O}_n(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_n(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n) \; = \; & \sum_{\alpha=0}^{[\frac{n}{2}]} (-1)^\alpha c^\alpha \sum_{A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_\alpha} \prod_{1\leqq j\leqq \alpha} \delta\left(x^2 \frac{z_{Max(A_j)}}{z_{Min(A_j)}}\right) \\ & \times \prod_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ 1\leqq j\leqq n \\ j\notin A}} T_1(z_j) \prod_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ 1\leqq j\leqq n \\ j\in A_{Min}}} T_2(x^{-1}z_j) \\ & \times \prod_{\substack{1\leqq j<k\leqq n \\ j,k\notin A}} g_{11}(z_k/z_j) \prod_{\substack{1\leqq j<k\leqq n \\ j,k\in A_{Min}}} g_{22}(z_k/z_j) \prod_{\substack{1\leqq j,k\leqq n \\ k\in A_{Min} \; j\notin A}} g_{12}(x^{-1}z_k/z_j), \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

where the summation $\sum_{A_1,\cdots,A_\alpha}$ is taken over the set $A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_\alpha \subset \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ such that $A_j \cap A_k = \phi$ $(1 \leqq j \neq k \leqq \alpha)$, $|A_j| = 2$ $(1 \leqq j \leqq \alpha)$, and $Min(A_j) < Min(A_k)$ $(1 \leqq j < k \leqq \alpha)$. We have set $A_{Min} = \{Min(A_1), \cdots, Min(A_\alpha)\}$, $A_{Max} = \{Max(A_1), \cdots, Max(A_\alpha)\}$, and $A = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_\alpha$.

Example We summarize the current $\mathcal{O}_n$ very explicitly for $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}_1(z_1) &= T_1(z_1), && (3.18)\\
\mathcal{O}_2(z_1, z_2) &= g_{11}(z_2/z_1)T_1(z_1)T_1(z_2) - c\delta(x^2 z_2/z_1)T_2(x^{-1}z_1), && (3.19)\\
\mathcal{O}_3(z_1, z_2, z_3) &= g_{11}(z_2/z_1)g_{11}(z_3/z_1)g_{11}(z_3/z_2)T_1(z_1)T_1(z_2)T_1(z_3)\\
&- cg_{12}(x^{-1}z_2/z_1)T_1(z_1)T_2(x^{-1}z_2)\delta(x^2 z_3/z_2)\\
&- cg_{12}(x^{-1}z_1/z_2)T_1(z_2)T_2(x^{-1}z_1)\delta(x^2 z_3/z_1)\\
&- cg_{12}(x^{-1}z_1/z_3)T_1(z_3)T_2(x^{-1}z_1)\delta(x^2 z_2/z_1), && (3.20)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}_4(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) &= \prod_{1 \leqq j < k \leqq 4} g_{11}(z_k/z_j)T_1(z_1)T_1(z_2)T_1(z_3)T_1(z_4)\\
&- cg_{11}(z_2/z_1)g_{12}(x^{-1}z_3/z_1)g_{12}(x^{-1}z_3/z_2)T_1(z_1)T_1(z_2)T_2(x^{-1}z_3)\delta(x^2 z_4/z_3)\\
&- cg_{11}(z_3/z_1)g_{12}(x^{-1}z_2/z_1)g_{12}(x^{-1}z_2/z_3)T_1(z_1)T_1(z_3)T_2(x^{-1}z_2)\delta(x^2 z_4/z_2)\\
&- cg_{11}(z_4/z_1)g_{12}(x^{-1}z_2/z_1)g_{12}(x^{-1}z_2/z_4)T_1(z_1)T_1(z_4)T_2(x^{-1}z_2)\delta(x^2 z_3/z_2)\\
&- cg_{11}(z_3/z_2)g_{12}(x^{-1}z_1/z_2)g_{12}(x^{-1}z_1/z_3)T_1(z_2)T_1(z_3)T_2(x^{-1}z_1)\delta(x^2 z_4/z_1)\\
&- cg_{11}(z_4/z_2)g_{12}(x^{-1}z_1/z_2)g_{12}(x^{-1}z_1/z_4)T_1(z_2)T_1(z_4)T_2(x^{-1}z_1)\delta(x^2 z_3/z_1)\\
&- cg_{11}(z_4/z_3)g_{12}(x^{-1}z_1/z_3)g_{12}(x^{-1}z_1/z_4)T_1(z_3)T_1(z_4)T_2(x^{-1}z_1)\delta(x^2 z_2/z_1)\\
&+ c^2 g_{22}(z_3/z_1)\delta(x^2 z_2/z_1)\delta(x^2 z_4/z_3)T_2(x^{-1}z_1)T_2(x^{-1}z_3)\\
&+ c^2 g_{22}(z_2/z_1)\delta(x^2 z_3/z_1)\delta(x^2 z_4/z_2)T_2(x^{-1}z_1)T_2(x^{-1}z_2)\\
&+ c^2 g_{22}(z_2/z_1)\delta(x^2 z_4/z_1)\delta(x^2 z_3/z_2)T_2(x^{-1}z_1)T_2(x^{-1}z_2). && (3.21)
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.5 *The operator $\mathcal{O}_n$ defined in (3.17) is S_n-invariant in the weak sense.*

$$\mathcal{O}_n(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n) \sim \mathcal{O}_n(z_{\sigma(1)}, z_{\sigma(2)}, \cdots, z_{\sigma(n)}) \quad (\sigma \in S_n). \tag{3.22}$$

Before showing the complete proof, we consider some simple examples as warming-up exercise. When $n = 2$ case, $\mathcal{O}_2(z_1, z_2) = \mathcal{O}_2(z_2, z_1)$ is exactly the same as the defining relation of the deformed Virasoro algebra Proposition 2.1. When $n = 3$ case, it is enough to show S_3-invariance for two generators $\sigma=(1,2),(2,3)$. Let us study the case $\sigma=(1,2)$ case. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{O}_3(z_1,z_2,z_3)-\mathcal{O}_3(z_2,z_1,z_3)\\
=\ & g_{11}(z_3/z_1)g_{11}(z_3/z_2)(g_{11}(z_2/z_1)T_1(z_1)T_1(z_2)-g_{11}(z_1/z_2)T_1(z_2)T_1(z_1))T_1(z_3) \quad (3.23)\\
-\ & cT_1(z_3)(g_{12}(x^{-1}z_1/z_3)T_2(x^{-1}z_1)\delta(x^2z_2/z_1)-g_{12}(x^{-1}z_2/z_3)T_2(x^{-1}z_2)\delta(x^2z_1/z_2)).
\end{aligned}$$

Changing the ordering of $T_1(z_1)T_1(z_2), T_1(z_2)T_1(z_1)$ and $T_1(z_3)$ in the first term, we have the following in the "weak sense"

$$\begin{aligned}
& T_1(z_3)g_{11}(z_1/z_3)g_{11}(z_2/z_3)(g_{11}(z_2/z_1)T_1(z_1)T_1(z_2)-g_{11}(z_1/z_2)T_1(z_2)T_1(z_1)) \quad (3.24)\\
-\ & cT_1(z_3)(g_{12}(x^{-1}z_1/z_3)T_2(x^{-1}z_1)\delta(x^2z_2/z_1)-g_{12}(x^{-1}z_2/z_3)T_2(x^{-1}z_2)\delta(x^2z_1/z_2)).
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the defining relation of the deformed Virasoro algebra (2.16), (2.17), (2.18) in Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& cT_1(z_3)g_{11}(z_1/z_3)g_{11}(z_2/z_3)(T_2(x^{-1}z_1)\delta(x^2z_2/z_1)-T_2(x^{-1}z_2)\delta(x^2z_1/z_2)) \quad (3.25)\\
-\ & cT_1(z_3)(g_{12}(x^{-1}z_1/z_3)T_2(x^{-1}z_1)\delta(x^2z_2/z_1)-g_{12}(x^{-1}z_2/z_3)T_2(x^{-1}z_2)\delta(x^2z_1/z_2))=0.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the fusion relation $g_{12}(z)=g_{11}(x^{-1}z)g_{11}(xz)$. The case $\sigma=(2,3)$ is similar.

Proof. We show S_n-invariance in the weak sense for general n case. It is enough to prove theorem for generators $\sigma=(i,i+1)$ $(1\leqq i\leqq n-1)$. At first we prepare convenient formula. Moving $T_1(z_i)$ and $T_1(z_{i+1})$ to the right, by using Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (T_1(z_i)T_1(z_{i+1})g_{11}(z_{i+1}/z_i)-T_1(z_{i+1})T_1(z_i)g_{11}(z_i/z_{i+1}))\\
\times\ & T_1(z_{i+2})\cdots T_1(z_n)\prod_{j=i+2}^{n}g_{11}(z_j/z_i)g_{11}(z_j/z_{i+1})\sim cT_1(z_{i+2})\cdots T_1(z_n) \quad (3.26)\\
\times\ & (\delta(x^2z_{i+1}/z_i)T_2(x^{-1}z_i)\prod_{j=i+2}^{n}g_{21}(x^{-1}z_i/z_j)-\delta(x^2z_i/z_{i+1})T_2(x^{-1}z_{i+1})\prod_{j=i+2}^{n}g_{21}(x^{-1}z_{i+1}/z_j)).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us study $\mathcal{O}_n(z_1,\cdots,z_i,z_{i+1},\cdots,z_n)-\mathcal{O}_n(z_1,\cdots,z_{i+1},z_i,\cdots,z_n)$. Collecting $T_1(z_i),T_1(z_{i+1})$ and $T_2(x^{-1}z_i),T_2(x^{-1}z_{i+1})$ in the center, by using Proposition 2.1 and Proposiotion 3.4, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_n(z_1,\cdots,z_i,z_{i+1},\cdots,z_n)-\mathcal{O}_n(z_1,\cdots,z_{i+1},z_i,\cdots,z_n)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sim\ & \sum_{\alpha=0}^{[\frac{n}{2}]}(-1)^{\alpha}c^{\alpha}\sum_{A_1,A_2,\cdots,A_{\alpha-1}\subset\{1,2,\cdots,n\}-\{i,i+1\}}\prod_{1\leqq j\leqq\alpha}\delta(x^2z_{Max(A_j)}/z_{Min(A_j)})\\
\times & \prod_{\substack{\overrightarrow{1\leqq j\leqq n}\\ j\neq i,i+1\\ j\notin A}} T_1(z_j)(g_{11}(z_{i+1}/z_i)T_1(z_i)T_1(z_{i+1})-g_{11}(z_i/z_{i+1})T_1(z_{i+1})T_1(z_i))\prod_{\substack{\overrightarrow{1\leqq k\leqq n}\\ k\in A_{Min}}} T_2(x^{-1}z_k)\\
\times & \prod_{\substack{1\leqq j<k\leqq n\\ j,k\neq i,i+1\\ j,k\notin A}} g_{11}(z_k/z_j)\prod_{\substack{1\leqq j\leqq n\\ j\neq i,i+1\\ j\notin A}} g_{11}(z_i/z_j)g_{11}(z_{i+1}/z_j)\prod_{\substack{1\leqq j,k\leqq n\\ k\in A_{Min}\\ j\notin A}} g_{12}(x^{-1}z_k/z_j)\prod_{\substack{1\leqq j<k\leqq n\\ j,k\in A_{Min}}} g_{22}(z_k/z_j)\\
+ & \sum_{\beta=1}^{[\frac{n}{2}]}(-1)^{\beta}c^{\beta}\sum_{\substack{B_1,B_2,\cdots,B_\beta\subset\{1,2,\cdots,n\}\\ \text{s.t }\ B_\gamma=\{i,i+1\}\ \text{ for some }\ \gamma}}\prod_{1\leqq j\leqq\beta-1}\delta(x^2z_{Max(A_j)}/z_{Min(A_j)})\prod_{\substack{\overrightarrow{1\leqq j\leqq n}\\ j\notin B}} T_1(z_j)\\
\times & \Bigg(\prod_{\substack{1\leqq j\leqq n\\ j\notin B}} g_{12}(x^{-1}z_i/z_j)\prod_{\substack{1\leqq k\leqq n\\ k\neq i\\ k\in B_{Min}}} g_{22}(x^{-1}z_k/z_i)T_2(x^{-1}z_i)\delta(x^2z_{i+1}/z_i)\\
- & \prod_{\substack{1\leqq j\leqq n\\ j\notin B}} g_{12}(x^{-1}z_{i+1}/z_j)\prod_{\substack{1\leqq k\leqq n\\ k\neq i\\ k\in B_{Min}}} g_{22}(x^{-1}z_k/z_{i+1})T_2(x^{-1}z_{i+1})\delta(x^2z_i/z_{i+1})\Bigg)\\
\times & \prod_{\substack{\overrightarrow{1\leqq k\leqq n}\\ k\neq i\\ k\in B_{Min}}} T_2(x^{-1}z_k)\prod_{\substack{1\leqq j<k\leqq n\\ j,k\notin B}} g_{11}(z_k/z_j)\prod_{\substack{1\leqq j<k\leqq n\\ j,k\neq i\\ j,k\in B_{Min}}} g_{22}(z_k/z_j)\prod_{\substack{1\leqq j,k\leqq n\\ k\neq i\\ k\in B_{Min},\ j\notin B}} g_{12}(x^{-1}z_k/z_j).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.27}
$$

In the second sum, the operator part is deformed by the fusion relation of coefficients functions.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{\substack{1\leqq j\leqq n\\ j\notin B}} g_{12}(x^{-1}z_i/z_j)\prod_{\substack{1\leqq k\leqq n\\ k\neq i\\ k\in B_{Min}}} g_{22}(x^{-1}z_k/z_i)T_2(x^{-1}z_i)\delta(x^2z_{i+1}/z_i)\\
- & \prod_{\substack{1\leqq j\leqq n\\ j\notin B}} g_{12}(x^{-1}z_i/z_j)\prod_{\substack{1\leqq k\leqq n\\ k\neq i\\ k\in B_{Min}}} g_{22}(x^{-1}z_k/z_{i+1})T_2(x^{-1}z_{i+1})\delta(x^2z_i/z_{i+1})\\
= & \prod_{\substack{1\leqq j\leqq n\\ j\notin B}} g_{11}(z_i/z_j)g_{11}(z_{i+1}/z_j)\prod_{\substack{1\leqq k\leqq n\\ k\neq i\\ k\in B_{Min}}} g_{12}(x^{-1}z_k/z_i)g_{12}(x^{-1}z_k/z_{i+1})\\
\times & \ (T_2(x^{-1}z_i)\delta(x^2z_{i+1}/z_i)-T_2(x^{-1}z_{i+1})\delta(x^2z_i/z_{i+1})).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.28}
$$

Substituting this into the second sum in (3.27) and changing the summation variable $\beta=\alpha+1$, we conclude $\mathcal{O}_n(z_1,\cdots,z_i,z_{i+1},\cdots,z_n)\sim\mathcal{O}_n(z_1,\cdots,z_{i+1},z_i,\cdots,z_n)$ is direct consequence of the commutation relation of $T_1(z)$ in Proposition 2.1. *Q.E.D.*

Let us set the formal power series $\mathcal{A}(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n)$ by

$$\mathcal{A}(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n) = \sum_{k_1, \cdots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{k_1, \cdots, k_n} z_1^{k_1} z_2^{k_2} \cdots z_n^{k_n}. \tag{3.29}$$

We define the symbol $[\cdots]_{1, z_1 \cdots z_n}$ by

$$[\mathcal{A}(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n)]_{1, z_1 \cdots z_n} = a_{0,0,\cdots,0}. \tag{3.30}$$

Let us set $D = \{(z_1, \cdots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n | \sum_{k_1, \cdots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_{k_1, \cdots, k_n} z_1^{k_1} z_2^{k_2} \cdots z_n^{k_n}| < +\infty\}$. When we assume closed curve J is contained in D, we have

$$[\mathcal{A}(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n)]_{1, z_1 \cdots z_n} = \int \cdots \int_J \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{dz_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1} z_j} \mathcal{A}(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n). \tag{3.31}$$

Let us set the auxiliary functions, $s_{11}(z) = s(z),\ h_{11}(z) = h(u)$, and

$$s_{12}(z) = s_{21}(z) = s_{11}(x^{-2}z) s_{11}(x^2 z),\ s_{22}(z) = s_{11}(x^{-2}z) s_{11}(z)^2 s_{11}(x^2 z), \tag{3.32}$$

$$h_{12}(z) = h_{21}(z) = h_{11}(x^{-2}z) h_{11}(x^2 z),\ h_{22}(z) = h_{11}(x^{-2}z) h_{11}(z)^2 h_{11}(x^2 z). \tag{3.33}$$

We will use the following formulae of the local integrals of motion to show the commutation relations, $[\mathcal{I}_m, \mathcal{I}_n] = [\mathcal{I}_m^*, \mathcal{I}_n^*] = 0$.

Theorem 3.6 *For* $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 2$ *and* $\mathrm{Re}(r) < 0$, *the local integrals of motion* $\mathcal{I}_n$ *are written as*

$$\mathcal{I}_n = \left[\prod_{1 \leqq j < k \leqq n} s(z_k/z_j) \mathcal{O}_n(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n) \right]_{1, z_1 \cdots z_n}. \tag{3.34}$$

For $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 2$ *and* $\mathrm{Re}(r^*) > 0$, *the local integrals of motion* $\mathcal{I}_n^*$ *are written as*

$$\mathcal{I}_n^* = \left[\prod_{1 \leqq j < k \leqq n} s^*(z_k/z_j) \mathcal{O}_n(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n) \right]_{1, z_1 \cdots z_n}. \tag{3.35}$$

Proof. We start from

$$\mathcal{I}_n = \int \cdots \int_C \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{dz_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1} z_j} \prod_{1 \leqq j < k \leqq N} h(u_k - u_j) \prod_{\overrightarrow{1 \leqq j \leqq n}} T_1(z_j), \tag{3.36}$$

where C is given by

$$C : |x^{-2} z_k|, |x^{2+2s} z_k|, |x^{-2r^*} z_k| < |z_j| < |x^{-2-2s} z_k|, |x^2 z_k|, |x^{2r^*} z_k|\ (1 \leqq j < k \leqq n). \tag{3.37}$$

Let us take the residue at $z_n = x^{-2}z_J$ $(1 \leqq J \leqq n-1)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_n &= \int\cdots\int_{\widehat{C}(1)} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{dz_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_j} \prod_{1\leqq j<k\leqq n} h(u_k-u_j) \prod_{\overrightarrow{1\leqq j\leqq n}} T_1(z_j) \\
&- \sum_{J=1}^{n-1} \int\cdots\int_{\widehat{C}(J)} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{dz_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_j} \mathrm{Res}_{z_n=x^{-2}z_J} \frac{dz_n}{z_n} \prod_{1\leqq j<k\leqq n} h(u_k-u_j) \prod_{\overrightarrow{1\leqq j\leqq n}} T_1(z_j) \Bigg|_{z_n=x^{-2}z_J} \qquad (3.38)
\end{aligned}$$

Here the contours $\widehat{C}(J)$, $(1 \leqq J \leqq n-1)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&|x^{-2}z_k| < |z_n| < |x^{2-2s}z_k| \quad (J \leqq k \leqq n-1) \\
&|x^{-2}z_k| < |z_n| < |x^{2}z_k| \quad (1 \leqq k \leqq J-1) \\
&|x^{-2}z_k| < |z_j| < |x^{2}z_k| \quad (1 \leqq j < k \leqq n-1). \qquad (3.39)
\end{aligned}$$

The region $\{(z_k, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \| x^{-2}z_k| < |z_n| < |x^{2-2s}z_k|\}$ for $J \leqq k \leqq n$, are annulus. Hence defining relations of the deformed Virasoro can be used. Hence we can use the following relation, which is derived by defining relations of the deformed Virasoro algebra.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Res}_{w_2=x^{-2}w_1} \frac{dw_2}{w_2} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{M} h(u_j-v_1)h(v_2-u_j) \right) h(v_2-v_1) \cdot T_1(w_1) \left(\prod_{\overrightarrow{1\leqq j\leqq M}} T_1(z_j) \right) T_1(w_2) \\
&= c \prod_{j=1}^{M} h_{21}\left(xz_j/w_1\right) \cdot T_2(x^{-1}w_1) \left(\prod_{\overrightarrow{1\leqq j\leqq M}} T_1(z_j) \right). \qquad (3.40)
\end{aligned}$$

By the same arguments as above, we can use the weak sense relation

$$\delta(x^2z_3/z_2)g_{12}(x^{-1}z_2/z_1)T_1(z_1)T_2(x^{-1}z_2) \sim \delta(x^2z_3/z_2)g_{21}(xz_1/z_2)T_2(x^{-1}z_2)T_1(z_1).$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_n &= \int\cdots\int_{\widehat{C}(1)} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{dz_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_j} \prod_{1\leqq j<k\leqq n} h(u_k-u_j) \prod_{\overrightarrow{1\leqq j\leqq n}} T_1(z_j) \\
&-c \sum_{J=1}^{n-1} \int\cdots\int_{C(J)} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{dz_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_j} \prod_{\substack{1\leqq j<k\leqq n \\ j,k\neq J}} h_{11}(z_j/z_k) \prod_{\substack{1\leqq k\leqq n-1 \\ k\neq J}} h_{12}(x^{-1}z_J/z_k) \\
&\times \prod_{\substack{\overrightarrow{1\leqq j\leqq n-1} \\ j\neq J}} T_1(z_j) \cdot T_2(x^{-1}z_J). \qquad (3.41)
\end{aligned}$$

Here the contours $C(J)$ for $1 \leqq J \leqq n-1$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} |x^{2s-2}z_k| < |z_J| < |x^{-2s+4}z_k| \ \ (1 \leqq k \neq J \leqq n-1), \\ |x^{-2}z_k| < |z_j| < |x^2 z_k| \ \ (1 \leqq j < k \leqq n-1; j,k \neq J). \end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

Repeating the same arguments for $z_{n-1}, z_{n-2}, \cdots$, we have this theorem. Q.E.D.

3.3 Proof of $[\mathcal{I}_m, \mathcal{I}_n] = [\mathcal{I}_m^*, \mathcal{I}_n^*] = 0$

In this section we show the commutation relation $[\mathcal{I}_m, \mathcal{I}_n] = [\mathcal{I}_m^*, \mathcal{I}_n^*] = 0$.

Proposition 3.7

$$\mathcal{O}_n(z_1, \cdots, z_n)\mathcal{O}_m(z_{n+1}, \cdots, z_{n+m}) \sim \prod_{\substack{1 \leqq j \leqq n \\ n+1 \leqq k \leqq n+m}} \frac{1}{f_{11}(z_k/z_j)} \mathcal{O}_{n+m}(z_1, \cdots, z_{n+m}). \tag{3.43}$$

Proof This is direct consequence of the following explicit formulae

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{O}_{n+m}(z_1, \cdots, z_{n+m}) \sim \prod_{\substack{1 \leqq j \leqq n \\ n+1 \leqq k \leqq n+m}} g_{11}(z_k/z_j) \mathcal{O}_n(z_1, \cdots, z_n)\mathcal{O}_m(z_{n+1}, \cdots, z_{n+m}) \\ + & \sum_{\alpha=1}^{[\frac{n+m}{2}]} (-1)^\alpha c^\alpha \frac{1}{(\alpha!)^2} \sum_{\substack{L \subset \{1,\cdots,n\} \\ |L|=\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{R \subset \{n+1,\cdots,n+m\} \\ |R|=\alpha}} \prod_{\substack{\overrightarrow{1 \leqq j \leqq n+m} \\ j \notin L \cup R}} T_1(z_j) \prod_{\substack{\overrightarrow{1 \leqq j \leqq n+m} \\ j \in L}} T_2(x^{-1}z_j) \prod_{1 \leqq t \leqq \alpha} \delta\left(x^2 \frac{z_{R(t)}}{z_{L(t)}}\right) \\ \times & \prod_{\substack{1 \leqq j < k \leqq n+m \\ j,k \notin L \cup R}} g_{11}(z_k/z_j) \prod_{\substack{1 \leqq j < k \leqq n+m \\ j,k \in L}} g_{22}(z_k/z_j) \prod_{\substack{1 \leqq j,k \leqq n+m \\ k \in L, j \notin L \cup R}} g_{12}(x^{-1}z_k/z_j). \end{aligned} \tag{3.44}$$

Here we have set the index $L(t)$ and $R(t)$ by $L = \{L(1) < L(2) < \cdots < L(\alpha)\}$ and $R = \{R(1) < R(2) < \cdots < R(\alpha)\}$. Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 3.1 At first we restrict ourself to the regime, $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 2$ and $\mathrm{Re}(r) < 0$, in order to use the power series formulae of the local integrals of motion, $\mathcal{I}_n$. In Proposition 3.5 we showed

$$\prod_{1 \leqq j < k \leqq n} s(z_k/z_j) \mathcal{O}_n(z_1, \cdots, z_n) = \prod_{1 \leqq j < k \leqq n} s(z_{\sigma(k)}/z_{\sigma(j)}) \mathcal{O}_n(z_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, z_{\sigma(n)}) \ \ (\sigma \in S_n) \tag{3.45}$$

Hence we have

$$\mathcal{I}_n \cdot \mathcal{I}_m$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \left[\prod_{1\leqq j<k\leqq n} s(z_k/z_j)\mathcal{O}_n(z_1,\cdots,z_n) \prod_{n+1\leqq j<k\leqq n+m} s(z_k/z_j)\mathcal{O}_m(z_{n+1},\cdots,z_{n+m})\right]_{1,z_1\cdots z_{n+m}} \\
&= \left[\frac{1}{(n+m)!}\sum_{\sigma\in S_{n+m}}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\prod_{k=n+1}^{n+m}\frac{1}{h(u_{\sigma(k)}-u_{\sigma(j)})}\prod_{1\leqq j<k\leqq n+m} s(z_k/z_j)\mathcal{O}_{n+m}(z_1,\cdots,z_{n+m})\right]_{1,z_1\cdots z_{n+m}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.46}
$$

Hence the commutation relation $\mathcal{I}_n\cdot\mathcal{I}_m=\mathcal{I}_m\cdot\mathcal{I}_n$ is reduced to the following theta identity.

$$
\mathrm{LHS}(n,m)=\mathrm{RHS}(n,m) \tag{3.47}
$$

where we have set

$$
\mathrm{LHS}(n,m) = \sum_{\substack{J\subset\{1,2,\cdots,n+m\}\\ |J|=n}}\prod_{j\in J}\prod_{k\notin J}\frac{[u_k-u_j+1]_s[u_k-u_j+r^*]_s}{[u_k-u_j]_s[u_k-u_j+r]_s}, \tag{3.48}
$$

$$
\mathrm{RHS}(n,m) = \sum_{\substack{J^c\subset\{1,2,\cdots,n+m\}\\ |J^c|=m}}\prod_{j\in J^c}\prod_{k\notin J^c}\frac{[u_k-u_j+1]_s[u_k-u_j+r^*]_s}{[u_k-u_j]_s[u_k-u_j+r]_s}. \tag{3.49}
$$

where we have used $h(u)=\frac{[u]_s[u+r]_s}{[u+1]_s[u+r^*]_s}$. LHS$(n,m)$ and RHS(n,m) are an elliptic functions. Therefore, from Liouville thorem, it is enough to check whether all the residues of LHS(n,m) and RHS(n,m) coincide or not. Candidates of poles are $u_\alpha=u_\beta$ $(\alpha\neq\beta)$ and $u_\alpha=u_\beta-r$ $(\alpha\neq\beta)$. Let us consider $u_\alpha=u_\beta$ $(\alpha\neq\beta)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{LHS}(n,m) &= \left(\frac{[u_\alpha-u_\beta+1]_s[u_\alpha-u_\beta+r^*]_s}{[u_\alpha-u_\beta]_s[u_\alpha-u_\beta-r]_s}+\frac{[u_\beta-u_\alpha+1]_s[u_\beta-u_\alpha+r^*]_s}{[u_\beta-u_\alpha]_s[u_\beta-u_\alpha-r]_s}\right) \\
&\times \sum_{\substack{J\cup J^c\{1,\cdots,n+m\}-\{\alpha,\beta\}\\ |J|=n-1}}\prod_{j\in J}\prod_{k\notin J}\frac{[u_k-u_j+1]_s[u_k-u_j+r^*]_s}{[u_k-u_j]_s[u_k-u_j+r]_s}
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.50}
$$

Hence we have $\mathrm{Res}_{u_\alpha=u_\beta}LHS(n,m)=0$. As the same manner we have $\mathrm{Res}_{u_\alpha=u_\beta}RHS(n,m)=0$. Therefore $u_\alpha=u_\beta$ is not pole. We only have to consider poles $u_\alpha=u_\beta$ $(\alpha\neq\beta)$. We show the LHS$(n,m)=$ RHS(n,m) by the induction of the number $n+m$. We assume $n>m\geqq 1$ without loosing generality. At first we show the starting point $n>m=1$.

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n+1}\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq k}}^{n+1}\frac{[u_j-u_k+1]_s[u_j-u_k+r^*]_s}{[u_j-u_k]_s[u_j-u_k+r]_s}=\sum_{k=1}^{n+1}\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq k}}^{n+1}\frac{[u_k-u_j+1]_s[u_k-u_j+r^*]_s}{[u_k-u_j]_s[u_k-u_j+r]_s} \tag{3.51}
$$

Both LHS$(n,1)$ and RHS$(n,1)$ have simple poles at $u_\alpha=u_\beta-r$ $(\alpha\neq\beta)$ modulo $\mathbb{Z}+\mathbb{Z}\tau$. Beacuse both LHS$(n,1)$ and RHS$(n,1)$ are symmetric with respect with $u_1,u_2,\cdots,u_{n+1}$, it is enough to check the pole at $u_2 = u_1 - r$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Res}\ _{u_2=u_1-r}\mathrm{LHS}(n,1) = \mathrm{Res}\ _{u_2=u_1-r}\mathrm{RHS}(n,1) \\ &= \mathrm{Res}_{u=0}\frac{[-r^*]_s[-1]_s}{[-r]_s[u]_s}\prod_{j=3}^{n+1}\frac{[u_j-u_1+1]_s[u_j-u_1+r^*]_s}{[u_j-u_1]_s[u_j-u_1+r]_s}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.52}$$

We have shown $n > m = 1$ case. We show general $n > m \geqq 1$ case. We assume the equation $\mathrm{LHS}(n,1) = \mathrm{RHS}(n,1)$ for some (m,n). Beacuse both $\mathrm{LHS}(n+1,m+1)$ and $\mathrm{RHS}(n+1,m+1)$ are symmetric with respect with $u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_{n+m+2}$, it is enough to check the pole at $u_2 = u_1 - r$. Let us take the residue at $u_2 = u_1 - r$ for $(m+1, n+1)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Res}\ _{u_2=u_1-r} \quad & \left(\sum_{\substack{J\subset\{1,2,\cdots,n+m+2\}\\ |J|=n+1}} \prod_{j\in J}\prod_{k\notin J}\frac{[u_k-u_j+1]_s[u_k-u_j+r^*]_s}{[u_k-u_j]_s[u_k-u_j+r]_s} \right. \\ & \left. - \sum_{\substack{J^c\subset\{1,2,\cdots,n+m+2\}\\ |J^c|=m+1}} \prod_{j\in J^c}\prod_{k\notin J^c}\frac{[u_k-u_j+1]_s[u_k-u_j+r^*]_s}{[u_k-u_j]_s[u_k-u_j+r]_s}. \right) \\ = & \prod_{j=3}^{n+m+2}\frac{[u_j-u_1+1]_s[u_j-u_1+r^*]_s}{[u_j-u_1]_s[u_j-u_1+r]_s} \\ \times & \left(\sum_{\substack{J\subset\{3,4,\cdots,n+m+2\}\\ |J|=n}} \prod_{j\in J}\prod_{k\notin J}\frac{[u_k-u_j+1]_s[u_k-u_j+r^*]_s}{[u_k-u_j]_s[u_k-u_j+r]_s} \right. \\ & \left. - \sum_{\substack{J^c\subset\{3,4,\cdots,n+m+2\}\\ |J^c|=m}} \prod_{j\in J^c}\prod_{k\notin J^c}\frac{[u_k-u_j+1]_s[u_k-u_j+r^*]_s}{[u_k-u_j]_s[u_k-u_j+r]_s}. \right) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.53}$$

We have used the assumption of induction $\mathrm{LHS}(n,m) = \mathrm{RHS}(n,m)$. We have proved the equation $[\mathcal{I}_n, \mathcal{I}_m] = 0$ for general $n > m \geqq 1$. Generalization to generic parameter case : $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 0$ and $r \in \mathbb{C}$, should be understood as analytic continuation. The commutation relation $[\mathcal{I}_n^*, \mathcal{I}_m^*] = 0$ is shown by the same manner as above. Q.E.D.

3.4 Proof of Dynkin Automorphism Invariance $\eta(\mathcal{I}_n) = \mathcal{I}_n$

In this section we show the Dynkin automorphism invariance $\eta(\mathcal{I}_n) = \mathcal{I}_n$. Before showing the complete proof, we consider some simple examples as warming-up exercise. We study the case : $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 2$ and $1 - \mathrm{Re}(s) < \mathrm{Re}(r) < 0$. Let us study $n = 2$ case. The following relation is convenient for our calculation.

$$\begin{aligned} h_{11}(z)-h_{11}(x^{2s}z) &= c_h(\delta(x^2z)-\delta(x^{2r-2+2s}z)), \qquad (3.54)\\ c_h &= -\frac{(x^2;x^{2s})_\infty(x^{2r-2};x^{2s})_\infty(x^{2s-2};x^{2s})_\infty(x^{2s-2r+2};x^{2s})_\infty}{(x^{2r-4};x^{2s})_\infty(x^{2s};x^{2s})_\infty(x^{2s};x^{2s})_\infty(x^{2s-2r+4};x^{2s})_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above relation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\eta(h_{11}(z_2/z_1)T_1(z_1)T_1(z_2)) = h_{11}(z_2/z_1)(\Lambda_1(x^{-s}z_1)\Lambda_1(x^{-s}z_2)+\Lambda_2(x^sz_1)\Lambda_2(x^sz_2))\\ + &\ h_{11}(x^{2s}z_2/z_1)\Lambda_1(x^{-s}z_1)\Lambda_2(x^sz_2)+h_{11}(x^{-2s}z_2/z_1)\Lambda_2(x^sz_1)\Lambda_1(x^{-s}z_2)\\ + &\ c_h(\delta(x^2z_2/z_1)-\delta(x^{2r-2+2s}z_2/z_1))\Lambda_1(x^{-s}z_1)\Lambda_2(x^sz_2)\\ - &\ c_h(\delta(x^{2-2s}z_2/z_1)-\delta(x^{2r-2}z_2/z_1))\Lambda_2(x^sz_1)\Lambda_1(x^{-s}z_2). \qquad (3.55) \end{aligned}$$

The delta-function yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta(x^{2r-2+2s}z_2/z_1)\Lambda_1(x^{-s}z_1)\Lambda_2(x^sz_2)=\delta(x^{2r-2}z_2/z_1)\Lambda_2(x^sz_1)\Lambda_1(x^{-s}z_2)=0, \qquad (3.56)\\ &c_h\delta(x^{2-2s}z_2/z_1)\Lambda_2(x^sz_1)\Lambda_1(x^{-s}z_2)=cs(x^{-2})\delta(x^{2-2s}z_2/z_1)T_2(x^{s-1}z_1), \qquad (3.57)\\ &c_h\delta(x^2z_2/z_1)\Lambda_1(x^{-s}z_1)\Lambda_2(x^sz_2)=cs(x^{-2})\delta(x^2z_2/z_1)\eta(T_2(x^{-1}z_1)). \qquad (3.58) \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $\eta(\mathcal{I}_2)=\mathcal{I}_2$. Let us study $n=3$ case. In what follows we use the following abbreviation.

$$h_{12}(z)=h_{11}(x^{-1}z)h_{11}(xz), \quad h_{12}^\eta(z)=h_{11}(x^{s-1}z)h_{11}(x^{-s+1}z). \qquad (3.59)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\eta\left(\left[\prod_{1\leqq j<k\leqq 3}h_{11}(z_k/z_j)\Lambda_2(z_1)\Lambda_2(z_2)\Lambda_1(z_3)\right]_{1,z_1z_2z_3}\right)\\ &=\left[\prod_{1\leqq j<k\leqq 3}h_{11}(z_k/z_j)\Lambda_1(z_1)\Lambda_1(z_2)\Lambda_2(z_3)\right]_{1,z_1z_2z_3}\\ &+\left[cs(x^{-2})h_{12}^\eta(x^{-1}z_1/z_2)\delta(x^2z_3/z_1)\Lambda_1(z_2)\eta(T_2(x^{-1}z_1))\right]_{1,z_1z_2z_3}\\ &+\left[cs(x^{-2})h_{12}^\eta(x^{-1}z_2/z_1)\delta(x^2z_3/z_2)\Lambda_1(z_1)\eta(T_2(x^{-1}z_2))\right]_{1,z_1z_2z_3}. \qquad (3.60) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover we have

$$\eta\left(\left[\prod_{1\leqq j<k\leqq 3}h_{11}(z_k/z_j)T_1(z_1)T_1(z_2)T_1(z_3)\right]_{1,z_1z_2z_3}\right)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \left[\prod_{1\leqq j<k\leqq 3} h_{11}(z_k/z_j)T_1(z_1)T_1(z_2)T_1(z_3)\right]_{1,z_1z_2z_3} \\
&- \left[cs(x^{-2})h_{12}(x^{-1}z_2/z_1)\delta(x^2z_3/z_2)T_1(z_1)T_2(x^{-1}z_2)\right]_{1,z_1z_2z_3} \\
&- \left[cs(x^{-2})h_{12}(x^{-1}z_3/z_1)\delta(x^2z_3/z_1)T_1(z_2)T_2(x^{-1}z_1)\right]_{1,z_1z_2z_3} \\
&- \left[cs(x^{-2})h_{12}(x^{-1}z_1/z_3)\delta(x^2z_2/z_1)T_1(z_3)T_2(x^{-1}z_1)\right]_{1,z_1z_2z_3} \\
&+ \left[cs(x^{-2})h_{12}^{\eta}(x^{-1}z_2/z_1)\delta(x^2z_3/z_2)T_1(z_1)\eta(T_2(x^{-1}z_2))\right]_{1,z_1z_2z_3} \\
&+ \left[cs(x^{-2})h_{12}^{\eta}(x^{-1}z_3/z_1)\delta(x^2z_3/z_1)T_1(z_2)\eta(T_2(x^{-1}z_1))\right]_{1,z_1z_2z_3} \\
&+ \left[cs(x^{-2})h_{12}^{\eta}(x^{-1}z_1/z_3)\delta(x^2z_2/z_1)T_1(z_3)\eta(T_2(x^{-1}z_1))\right]_{1,z_1z_2z_3}. \qquad (3.61)
\end{aligned}
$$

As the same manner, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\eta([h_{12}(x^{-1}z_2/z_1)\delta(x^2z_3/z_2)T_1(z_1)T_2(x^{-1}z_2)]_{1,z_1z_2z_3}) \\
= \;&[h_{12}^{\eta}(x^{-1}z_2/z_1)\delta(x^2z_3/z_2)T_1(z_1)\eta(T_2(x^{-1}z_2))]_{1,z_1z_2z_3}. \qquad (3.62)
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing up all the above, we have $\eta(\mathcal{I}_3) = \mathcal{I}_3$.

Proof. Let us start general n case. For a while we study : $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 2$ and $1 - \mathrm{Re}(s) < \mathrm{Re}(r) < 0$. In what follows we use the following abberiviations.

$$
h_{22}^{\eta}(z) = h_{11}(x^{-s}z)h_{11}(x^{-s+2}z)h_{11}(x^{s-2}z)h_{11}(x^{s}z), \qquad (3.63)
$$

$$
h_{22}^{\eta\eta}(z) = h_{11}(x^{-2s+2}z)h_{11}(z)^2h_{11}(x^{2s-2}z). \qquad (3.64)
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\eta\left(\left[\prod_{1\leqq j<k\leqq n} h_{11}(z_k/z_j)T_1(z_1)T_1(z_2)\cdots T_1(z_n)\right]_{1,z_1\cdots z_n}\right) \\
= &\left[\sum_{\substack{\alpha,\beta\geqq 0\\ 0\leqq\alpha+\beta\leqq[\frac{n}{2}]}} (-1)^{\alpha}(cs(x^{-2}))^{\alpha+\beta} \sum_{A_1,\cdots,A_\alpha,B_1,\cdots,B_\beta} \prod_{1\leqq j\leqq\alpha} \delta\left(x^2\frac{z_{Max(A_j)}}{z_{Min(A_j)}}\right) \prod_{1\leqq j\leqq\beta} \delta\left(x^2\frac{z_{Max(A_k)}}{z_{Min(A_j)}}\right)\right. \\
\times &\prod_{\substack{\longrightarrow\\ j\notin A\cup B}} T_1(z_j) \prod_{\substack{\longrightarrow\\ j\in A_{Min}}} T_2(x^{-1}z_j) \prod_{\substack{\longrightarrow\\ j\in B_{Min}}} \eta(T_2(x^{-1}z_j)) \times \prod_{\substack{j<k\\ j,k\notin A\cup B}} h_{11}(z_k/z_j) \prod_{\substack{j\notin A\cup B\\ k\in A_{Min}}} h_{12}(z_k/z_j) \\
\times &\left.\prod_{\substack{j\notin A\cup B\\ k\in B_{Min}}} h_{12}^{\eta}(z_k/z_j) \prod_{\substack{j<k\\ j,k\in A_{Min}}} h_{22}(z_k/z_j) \prod_{\substack{j<k\\ j,k\in B_{Min}}} h_{22}^{\eta\eta}(z_k/z_j) \prod_{\substack{j<k\\ j\in A_{Min}\\ k\in B_{Min}}} h_{22}^{\eta}(z_k/z_j)\right]_{1,z_1\cdots z_n}.
\end{aligned}
$$

(3.65)

We explain the notation of the above formulae (3.65). The summation $\sum_{A_1,\cdots,A_\alpha,B_1,\cdots,B_\beta}$ is taken over the set $A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_\alpha, B_1, B_2, \cdots, B_\beta \subset \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ such that $A_j \cap A_k = \phi\ (1 \leqq j \neq k \leqq \alpha)$, $B_j \cap B_k = \phi\ (1 \leqq j \neq k \leqq \beta)$, $|A_j| = |B_k| = 2\ (1 \leqq j \leqq \alpha, 1 \leqq k \leqq \beta)$, and $Min(A_j) < Min(A_k)\ (1 \leqq j < k \leqq \alpha)$, $Min(B_j) < Min(B_k)\ (1 \leqq j < k \leqq \beta)$. We have set $A_{Min} = \{Min(A_1), \cdots, Min(A_\alpha)\}$, $A_{Max} = \{Max(A_1), \cdots, Max(A_\alpha)\}$, $B_{Min} = \{Min(B_1), \cdots, Min(B_\beta)\}$, $B_{Min} = \{Min(B_1), \cdots, Min(B_\beta)\}$, and $A = A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_\alpha$, $B = B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_\beta$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\eta\left(\left[\prod_{1\leqq j<k\leqq n} h_{11}(z_k/z_j) \prod_{\substack{1\leqq j\leqq n\\ n+1\leqq k\leqq n+m}} h_{12}(x^{-1}z_k/z_j) \prod_{n+1\leqq j<k\leqq n+m} h_{22}(z_k/z_j)\right.\right.\\
&\left.\left.\times\ T_1(z_1)\cdots T_1(z_n)T_2(x^{-1}z_{n+1})\cdots T_2(x^{-1}z_{n+m}) \prod_{1\leqq j\leqq m} \delta(x^2 z_{n+m+j}/z_{n+j})\right]_{1,z_1\cdots z_{n+m}}\right)\\
=&\left[\sum_{\substack{\alpha,\beta\geqq 0\\ 0\leqq\alpha+\beta\leqq[\frac{n}{2}]}} (-1)^\alpha (cs(x^{-2}))^{\alpha+\beta} \sum_{A_1,\cdots,A_\alpha,B_1,\cdots,B_\beta} \prod_{1\leqq j\leqq\alpha} \delta\left(x^2\frac{z_{Max(A_j)}}{z_{Min(A_j)}}\right) \prod_{1\leqq j\leqq\beta} \delta\left(x^2\frac{z_{Max(A_j)}}{z_{Min(A_j)}}\right)\right.\\
&\times \prod_{1\leqq j\leqq m} \delta\left(x^2\frac{z_{n+m+j}}{z_{n+j}}\right) \times \prod_{\substack{\longrightarrow\\ 1\leqq j\leqq n\\ j\notin A\cup B}} T_1(z_j) \prod_{\substack{\longrightarrow\\ j\in A_{Min}}} T_2(x^{-1}z_j) \prod_{\substack{\longrightarrow\\ j\in B_{Min}\cup\{n+1,\cdots,n+m\}}} \eta(T_2(x^{-1}z_j))\\
&\times \prod_{\substack{1\leqq j<k\leqq n\\ j,k\notin A\cup B}} h_{11}(z_k/z_j) \prod_{\substack{1\leqq j\leqq n\\ j\notin A\cup B\\ k\in A_{Min}}} h_{12}(x^{-1}z_k/z_j) \prod_{\substack{1\leqq j\leqq n\\ j\notin A\cup B\\ k\in B_{Min}\cup\{n+1,\cdots,n+m\}}} h_{12}^{\eta}(x^{-1}z_k/z_j)\\
&\left.\times \prod_{\substack{j<k\\ j,k\in A_{Min}}} h_{22}(z_k/z_j) \prod_{\substack{j<k\\ j\in A_{Min}\\ k\in B_{Min}\cup\{n+1,\cdots,n+m\}}} h_{22}^{\eta}(z_k/z_j) \prod_{\substack{j<k\\ j,k\in B_{Min}\cup\{n+1,\cdots,n+m\}}} h_{22}^{\eta\eta}(z_k/z_j)\right]_{1,z_1\cdots z_{n+m}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.66}
$$

Using the formulae (3.17), (3.65) and (3.66), we have $\eta(\mathcal{I}_n) = \mathcal{I}_n$ for general n. Cancellations of coefficients of $\prod T_1 \prod T_2 \prod \eta(T_2)$ come from relation $0 = (1-1)^k = \sum_{l=0}^k (-1)^k\, {}_kC_l$. Generalization to generic parameter $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 0$ and $r \in \mathbb{C}$ should be understood as analytic continuation. Q.E.D.

4 Nonlocal Integrals of Motion $\mathcal{G}_n$

In this section we explicitly construct the nonlocal integrals of motion $\mathcal{G}_n$ and $\mathcal{G}_n^*$. In this section we study generic case : $0<x<1$, $\mathrm{Re}(r)\neq 0$ and $s\in\mathbb{C}$ (resp. $0<x<1$, $\mathrm{Re}(r^*)\neq 0$ and $s\in\mathbb{C}$). Let us use the parametrization : $z_j=x^{2u_j}, w_j=x^{2v_j}$.

4.1 Nonlocal Integrals of Motion $\mathcal{G}_n$

We explicitly construct the nonlocal integrals of motion $\mathcal{G}_n$ and $\mathcal{G}_n^*$, and state the main results.

Let us set the theta function $\vartheta_{\alpha,r}(u)$ by

$$\vartheta_{\alpha,r}(u)=[u-\hat{\pi}+\alpha]_r[u-\alpha]_r+[u-\hat{\pi}-\alpha]_r[u+\alpha]_r. \tag{4.1}$$

This function $\vartheta_{\alpha,r}(u)$ satisfies

$$\vartheta_{\alpha,r}(u+r\tau)=e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}\tau+\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{r}(\hat{\pi}+2u)}\vartheta_{\alpha,r}(u),\quad \vartheta_{\alpha,r}(u)=\vartheta_{-\alpha,r}(u). \tag{4.2}$$

Definition 4.1

- *We define* $\mathcal{G}_n$ $(n=1,2,\cdots)$ *for the regime* $\mathrm{Re}(r)>0$ *and* $0<\mathrm{Re}(s)<2$ *by*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}_n &= \int\cdots\int_I \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{dz_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_j}\prod_{j=1}^n\frac{dw_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_j}\prod_{\overrightarrow{1\leqq j\leqq n}}F_1(z_j)\prod_{\overrightarrow{1\leqq j\leqq n}}F_0(w_j)\\ &\times \frac{\prod_{1\leqq i<j\leqq n}[u_i-u_j]_r[u_j-u_i-1]_r[v_i-v_j]_r[v_j-v_i-1]_r}{\prod_{i,j=1}^n[u_i-v_j+\frac{s}{2}]_r[v_j-u_i+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r}\vartheta_{\alpha,r}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n(u_j-v_j)\right).\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Here the contour I encircles $z_i, w_i=0$ in such a way that
(1) $z_j=x^{s+2lr}w_i, x^{2-s+2lr}w_i$ $(l=0,1,2,\cdots)$ is inside and $z_j=x^{-s-2lr}w_i, x^{s-2-2lr}w_i$ $(l=0,1,2,\cdots)$ is outside for $i,j=1,2,\cdots,n$,
(2) $z_p=x^{2r^*+2lr}z_q$ $(l=0,1,2,\cdots)$ is inside $z_p=x^{-2r^*-2lr}z_q$ $(l=0,1,2,\cdots)$ is outside for $1\leqq p<q\leqq n$,
(3) $w_p=x^{2r^*+2lr}w_q$ $(l=0,1,2,\cdots)$ is inside $w_p=x^{-2r^*-2lr}w_q$ $(l=0,1,2,\cdots)$ is outside for $1\leqq p<q\leqq n$.

- *We define* $\mathcal{G}_n$ $(n=1,2,\cdots)$ *for the regime* $\mathrm{Re}(r)<0$ *and* $0<\mathrm{Re}(s)<2$ *by*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}_n &= \int\cdots\int_I \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{dz_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_j}\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{dw_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_j}\prod_{\overrightarrow{1\leqq j\leqq n}} F_1(z_j)\prod_{\overrightarrow{1\leqq j\leqq n}} F_0(w_j)\\ &\times \frac{\prod_{1\leqq i<j\leqq n}[u_i-u_j]_{-r}[u_j-u_i+1]_{-r}[v_i-v_j]_{-r}[v_j-v_i+1]_{-r}}{\prod_{i,j=1}^n [u_i-v_j-\frac{s}{2}]_{-r}[v_j-u_i-\frac{s}{2}+1]_{-r}}\vartheta_{\alpha,-r}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (v_j-u_j)\right).\end{aligned}\tag{4.4}$$

Here the contour I *encircles* $z_i, w_i=0$ *in such a way that*
(1) $z_j=x^{s-2lr}w_i, x^{2-s-2lr}w_i$ $(l=0,1,2,\cdots)$ *is inside and* $z_j=x^{-s+2lr}w_i, x^{s-2+2lr}w_i$ $(l=0,1,2,\cdots)$ *is outside for* $i,j=1,2,\cdots,n$*,*
(2) $z_p=x^{-2r^*-2lr}z_q$ $(l=0,1,2,\cdots)$ *is inside* $z_p=x^{2r^*+2lr}z_q$ $(l=0,1,2,\cdots)$ *is outside for* $1\leqq p<q\leqq n$*,*
(3) $w_p=x^{-2r^*-2lr}w_q$ $(l=0,1,2,\cdots)$ *is inside* $w_p=x^{2r^*+2lr}w_q$ $(l=0,1,2,\cdots)$ *is outside for* $1\leqq p<q\leqq n$*.*

- *We define* $\mathcal{G}_n^*$ $(n=1,2,\cdots)$ *for the regime* $\mathrm{Re}(r^*)>0$ *and* $0<\mathrm{Re}(s)<2$ *by*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}_n^* &= \int\cdots\int_{I^*} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{dz_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_j}\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{dw_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_j}\prod_{\overrightarrow{1\leqq j\leqq n}} E_1(z_j)\prod_{\overrightarrow{1\leqq j\leqq n}} E_0(w_j)\\ &\times \frac{\prod_{1\leqq i<j\leqq n}[u_i-u_j]_{r^*}[u_j-u_i+1]_{r^*}[v_i-v_j]_{r^*}[v_j-v_i+1]_{r^*}}{\prod_{i,j=1}^n [u_i-v_j-\frac{s}{2}]_{r^*}[v_j-u_i-\frac{s}{2}+1]_{r^*}}\vartheta_{\alpha,r^*}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (v_j-u_j)\right).\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

Here the contour I^* *encircles* $z_i, w_i=0$ *in such a way that*
(1) $z_j=x^{s+2lr^*}w_i, x^{2-s+2lr^*}w_i$ $(l=0,1,2,\cdots)$ *is inside and* $z_j=x^{-s-2lr^*}w_i, x^{s-2-2lr^*}w_i$ $(l=0,1,2,\cdots)$ *is outside for* $i,j=1,2,\cdots,n$*,*
(2) $z_p=x^{2r+2lr^*}z_q$ $(l=0,1,2,\cdots)$ *is inside* $z_p=x^{-2r-2lr^*}z_q$ $(l=0,1,2,\cdots)$ *is outside for* $1\leqq p<q\leqq n$*,*
(3) $w_p=x^{2r+2lr^*}w_q$ $(l=0,1,2,\cdots)$ *is inside* $w_p=x^{-2r-2lr^*}w_q$ $(l=0,1,2,\cdots)$ *is outside for* $1\leqq p<q\leqq n$*.*

- *We define* $\mathcal{G}_n^*$ $(n=1,2,\cdots)$ *for the regime* $\mathrm{Re}(r^*)<0$ *and* $0<\mathrm{Re}(s)<2$ *by*

$$\mathcal{G}_n^* = \int\cdots\int_{I^*} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{dz_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_j}\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{dw_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_j}\prod_{\overrightarrow{1\leqq j\leqq n}} E_1(z_j)\prod_{\overrightarrow{1\leqq j\leqq n}} E_0(w_j)$$

$$\times \quad \frac{\prod_{1\leqq i<j\leqq n}[u_i-u_j]_{-r^*}[u_j-u_i-1]_{-r^*}[v_i-v_j]_{-r^*}[v_j-v_i-1]_{-r^*}}{\prod_{i,j=1}^{n}[u_i-v_j+\frac{s}{2}]_{-r^*}[v_j-u_i+\frac{s}{2}-1]_{-r^*}}\vartheta_{\alpha,-r^*}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}(u_j-v_j)\right). \tag{4.6}$$

Here the contour I^* encircles $z_i, w_i = 0$ in such a way that
(1) $z_j = x^{s-2lr^*}w_i, x^{2-s-2lr^*}w_i$ $(l = 0,1,2,\cdots)$ is inside and $z_j = x^{-s+2lr^*}w_i, x^{s-2+2lr^*}w_i$ $(l = 0,1,2,\cdots)$ is outside for $i,j = 1,2,\cdots,n$,
(2) $z_p = x^{-2r-2lr^*}z_q$ $(l = 0,1,2,\cdots)$ is inside $z_p = x^{2r+2lr^*}z_q$ $(l = 0,1,2,\cdots)$ is outside for $1 \leqq p < q \leqq n$,
(3) $w_p = x^{-2r-2lr^*}w_q$ $(l = 0,1,2,\cdots)$ is inside $w_p = x^{2r+2lr^*}w_q$ $(l = 0,1,2,\cdots)$ is outside for $1 \leqq p < q \leqq n$.

We call $\mathcal{G}_n$ and $\mathcal{G}_n^*$ the nonlocal integrals of motion for the deformed Virasoro algebra. The definitions of $\mathcal{G}_n$ and $\mathcal{G}_n^*$ for generic $s \in \mathbb{C}$, should be understood as analytic continuation.

Example For $\mathrm{Re}(r) > 0$ and $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 2$ we have

$$\mathcal{G}_1 = \int\int_I \frac{dz_1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_1}\frac{dz_2}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_2}F_1(z_1)F_0(z_2)\frac{\vartheta_{\alpha,r}(u_1-u_2)}{[u_1-u_2+\frac{s}{2}]_r[u_1-u_2-\frac{s}{2}+1]_r}. \tag{4.7}$$

For $\mathrm{Re}(r) < 0$ and $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 2$ we have

$$\mathcal{G}_1 = \int\int_I \frac{dz_1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_1}\frac{dz_2}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_2}F_1(z_1)F_0(z_2)\frac{\vartheta_{\alpha,-r}(u_2-u_1)}{[u_1-u_2-\frac{s}{2}]_{-r}[u_1-u_2+\frac{s}{2}-1]_{-r}}. \tag{4.8}$$

For $\mathrm{Re}(r^*) > 0$ and $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 2$ we have

$$\mathcal{G}_1^* = \int\int_I \frac{dz_1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_1}\frac{dz_2}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_2}E_1(z_1)E_0(z_2)\frac{\vartheta_{\alpha,r^*}(u_2-u_1)}{[u_1-u_2-\frac{s}{2}]_{r^*}[u_1-u_2+\frac{s}{2}-1]_{r^*}}. \tag{4.9}$$

For $\mathrm{Re}(r^*) < 0$ and $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 2$ we have

$$\mathcal{G}_1^* = \int\int_I \frac{dz_1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_1}\frac{dz_2}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_2}E_1(z_1)E_0(z_2)\frac{\vartheta_{\alpha,-r^*}(u_1-u_2)}{[u_1-u_2+\frac{s}{2}]_{-r^*}[u_1-u_2-\frac{s}{2}+1]_{-r^*}}. \tag{4.10}$$

The contour I and I^* encircles $z_1 = 0$ in such a way that $z_1 = x^{s+2rl}z_2, x^{2-s+2rl}z_2$ $(l = 0,1,2,\cdots)$ is inside and $z_1 = x^{-s-2rl}z_2, x^{s-2-2rl}z_2$ $(l = 0,1,2,\cdots)$ is outside.

The followings are some of **Main Results** of our paper.

Theorem 4.1 *The nonlocal integrals of motion* $\mathcal{G}_n$ *(resp.* $\mathcal{G}_n^*$*) commute with each other for generic* $\mathrm{Re}(r) \neq 0$ *and* $s \neq 2$ *(resp* $\mathrm{Re}(r^*) \neq 0$ *and* $s \neq 2$*)*

$$[\mathcal{G}_n, \mathcal{G}_m] = 0, \quad [\mathcal{G}_n^*, \mathcal{G}_m^*] = 0 \quad (n, m = 1, 2, \cdots). \tag{4.11}$$

Theorem 4.2 *The nonlocal integrals of motion* $\mathcal{G}_n$ *and* $\mathcal{G}_n^*$ *commute with each other for generic* $0 < \mathrm{Re}(r) < 1$ *and* $s \neq 2$

$$[\mathcal{G}_n, \mathcal{G}_m^*] = 0 \quad (n, m = 1, 2, \cdots). \tag{4.12}$$

Theorem 4.3 *The nonlocal integrals of motion* $\mathcal{G}_n$ *(resp.* $\mathcal{G}_n^*$*) are invariant under the action of Dynkin automorphism* η*, for generic* $\mathrm{Re}(r) \neq 0$ *and* $s \neq 2$ *(resp.* $\mathrm{Re}(r^*) \neq 0$ *and* $s \neq 2$*).*

$$\eta(\mathcal{G}_n) = \mathcal{G}_n, \quad \eta(\mathcal{G}_n^*) = \mathcal{G}_n^* \quad (n = 1, 2, \cdots). \tag{4.13}$$

Theorem 4.4 *For generic parameter* $\mathrm{Re}(r) \neq 0,\ \mathrm{Re}(s) > 0$*, we have*

$$[\mathcal{I}_n, \mathcal{G}_m] = 0, \quad [\mathcal{I}_n^*, \mathcal{G}_m] = 0 \quad (n, m = 1, 2, \cdots). \tag{4.14}$$

For generic parameter $\mathrm{Re}(r^*) \neq 0,\ \mathrm{Re}(s) > 0$*, we have*

$$[\mathcal{I}_n, \mathcal{G}_m^*] = 0, \quad [\mathcal{I}_n^*, \mathcal{G}_m^*] = 0, \quad (n, m = 1, 2, \cdots). \tag{4.15}$$

4.2 Proof of $[\mathcal{G}_n, \mathcal{G}_m] = [\mathcal{G}_n^*, \mathcal{G}_m^*] = 0$

In this section we show the commutation relations $[\mathcal{G}_n, \mathcal{G}_m] = [\mathcal{G}_n^*, \mathcal{G}_m^*] = 0$. At first we show the theta function identity, which gives a generalization of the one in [5].

Theorem 4.5 *The following theta function identity holds*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{K \cup K^c = \{1, \cdots, n+m\} \\ |K| = n,\ K \cap K^c = \varnothing}} \sum_{\substack{L \cup L^c = \{1, \cdots, n+m\} \\ |L| = n,\ L \cap L^c = \varnothing}} \widehat{\vartheta}_{\beta,r}\left(\sum_{j \in K^c} u_j - \sum_{j \in L^c} v_j\right) \widehat{\vartheta}_{\alpha,r}\left(\sum_{j \in K} u_j - \sum_{j \in L} v_j\right) \\
&\times \prod_{\substack{i \in K^c \\ k \in K}} \prod_{\substack{j \in L^c \\ l \in L}} \frac{[v_j - u_k + \frac{s}{2}]_r [u_i - v_l + \frac{s}{2}]_r [u_k - v_j + \frac{s}{2} - 1]_r [v_l - u_i + \frac{s}{2} - 1]_r}{[u_i - u_k]_r [v_j - v_l]_r [u_k - u_i - 1]_r [v_l - v_j - 1]_r} \\
= &\sum_{\substack{K \cup K^c = \{1, \cdots, n+m\} \\ |K| = n,\ K \cap K^c = \varnothing}} \sum_{\substack{L \cup L^c = \{1, \cdots, n+m\} \\ |L| = n,\ L \cap L^c = \varnothing}} \widehat{\vartheta}_{\alpha,r}\left(\sum_{j \in K^c} u_j - \sum_{j \in L^c} v_j\right) \widehat{\vartheta}_{\beta,r}\left(\sum_{j \in K} u_j - \sum_{j \in L} v_j\right) \\
&\times \prod_{\substack{i \in K^c \\ k \in K}} \prod_{\substack{j \in L^c \\ l \in L}} \frac{[v_l - u_i + \frac{s}{2}]_r [u_k - v_j + \frac{s}{2}]_r [u_i - v_l + \frac{s}{2} - 1]_r [v_j - u_k + \frac{s}{2} - 1]_r}{[u_k - u_i]_r [v_l - v_j]_r [u_i - u_k - 1]_r [v_j - v_l - 1]_r}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Here the theta functions $\widehat{\vartheta}_{\alpha,r}(u)$ *and* $\widehat{\vartheta}_{\beta,r}(u)$ *are characterized by*

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\vartheta}_{\alpha,r}(u+r) &= \widehat{\vartheta}_{\alpha,r}(u), && (4.17)\\
\widehat{\vartheta}_{\alpha,r}(u+r\tau) &= e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}\tau+\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{r}(\hat{\pi}+2u)+\nu_\alpha}\widehat{\vartheta}_{\alpha,r}(u), \quad (\nu_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}), && (4.18)\\
\widehat{\vartheta}_{\beta,r}(u+r) &= \widehat{\vartheta}_{\beta,r}(u), && (4.19)\\
\widehat{\vartheta}_{\beta,r}(u+r\tau) &= e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}\tau+\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{r}(\hat{\pi}+2u)+\nu_\beta}\widehat{\vartheta}_{\beta,r}(u), \quad (\nu_\beta \in \mathbb{C}). && (4.20)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof In order to consider elliptic function, we divide the above theta identity by $\widehat{\vartheta}_{r,\gamma}(\sum_{j=1}^{n+m}(u_j-v_j))$ with $\nu_\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. Let us set

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{LHS}(n,m) &= \sum_{\substack{K\cup K^c=\{1,\cdots,n+m\}\\ |K|=n,\ K\cap K^c=\varnothing}} \sum_{\substack{L\cup L^c=\{1,\cdots,n+m\}\\ |L|=n,\ L\cap L^c=\varnothing}}\\
&\times \frac{\widehat{\vartheta}_{\alpha,r}(\sum_{j\in K^c}u_j-\sum_{j\in L^c}v_j)\widehat{\vartheta}_{\beta,r}(\sum_{j\in K}u_j-\sum_{j\in L}v_j)}{\widehat{\vartheta}_{\gamma,r}(\sum_{j=1}^{n+m}(u_j-v_j))} \qquad (4.21)\\
&\times \prod_{\substack{i\in K^c\\ k\in K}}\prod_{\substack{j\in L^c\\ l\in L}} \frac{[v_j-u_k+\frac{s}{2}]_r[u_i-v_l+\frac{s}{2}]_r[u_k-v_j+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r[v_l-u_i+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r}{[u_i-u_k]_r[v_j-v_l]_r[u_k-u_i-1]_r[v_l-v_j-1]_r}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{RHS}(n,m) &= \sum_{\substack{K\cup K^c=\{1,\cdots,n+m\}\\ |K|=n,\ K\cap K^c=\varnothing}} \sum_{\substack{L\cup L^c=\{1,\cdots,n+m\}\\ |L|=n,\ L\cap L^c=\varnothing}}\\
&\times \frac{\widehat{\vartheta}_{\beta,r}(\sum_{j\in K^c}u_j-\sum_{j\in L^c}v_j)\widehat{\vartheta}_{\alpha,r}(\sum_{j\in K}u_j-\sum_{j\in L}v_j)}{\widehat{\vartheta}_{\gamma,r}(\sum_{j=1}^{n+m}(u_j-v_j))} \qquad (4.22)\\
&\times \prod_{\substack{i\in K^c\\ k\in K}}\prod_{\substack{j\in L^c\\ l\in L}} \frac{[v_l-u_i+\frac{s}{2}]_r[u_k-v_j+\frac{s}{2}]_r[u_i-v_l+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r[v_j-u_k+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r}{[u_k-u_i]_r[v_l-v_j]_r[u_i-u_k-1]_r[v_j-v_l-1]_r}.
\end{aligned}$$

We will show $\mathrm{LHS}(n,m)=\mathrm{RHS}(n,m)$ by induction. Both $\mathrm{LHS}(n,m)$ and $\mathrm{RHS}(n,m)$ are elliptic functions. Therefore, from Liouville theorem, it is enough to check whether all residues of $\mathrm{LHS}(n,m)$ and $\mathrm{RHS}(n,m)$ coincide or not. Candidates of poles are $u_\alpha=u_\beta$, $v_\alpha=v_\beta$, $u_\alpha=u_\beta+1$, $v_\alpha=v_\beta+1$ and $\widehat{\vartheta}_{\gamma,r}(\{u_\alpha\}|\{u_\beta\})=0$. (Some of them are real pole and some of them are fake.) Let us consider $u_\alpha=u_\beta$ $(\alpha\neq\beta)$. Take the residue of the $\mathrm{LHS}(n,m)$ at $u_\alpha=u_\beta$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Res}_{u_\alpha=u_\beta}\left(\frac{1}{[u_\alpha-u_\beta]_r[u_\beta-u_\alpha-1]_r}+\frac{1}{[u_\beta-u_\alpha]_r[u_\alpha-u_\beta-1]_r}\right)\\
\times &\sum_{\substack{L\cup L^c=\{1,\cdots,n+m\}\\ |L|=n,\ L\cap L^c=\varnothing}}\prod_{\substack{j\in L^c\\ l\in L}}\frac{[v_l-u_\alpha+\frac{s}{2}]_r[u_\alpha-v_j+\frac{s}{2}]_r[u_\alpha-v_l+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r[v_j-u_\alpha+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r}{[v_l-v_j]_r[v_j-v_l-1]_r}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\times \sum_{\substack{K\cup K^c=\{1,\cdots,n+m\}-\{\alpha,\beta\}\\ |K|=n-1,\ K\cap K^c=\varnothing}} \prod_{\substack{i\in K^c\cup\{\alpha\}\\ k\in K\cup\{\alpha\}\\ i\neq k}} \prod_{\substack{j\in L^c\\ l\in L}} \frac{[v_l-u_i+\frac{s}{2}]_r[u_k-v_j+\frac{s}{2}]_r[u_i-v_l+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r[v_j-u_k+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r}{[u_k-u_i]_r[v_l-v_j]_r[u_i-u_k-1]_r[v_j-v_l-1]_r}\\
&\times \frac{\widehat{\vartheta}_{\alpha,r}(\sum_{j\in K^c\cup\{\alpha\}}u_j-\sum_{j\in L^c\cup\{\alpha\}}v_j)\widehat{\vartheta}_{\beta,r}(\sum_{j\in K\cup\{\alpha\}}u_j-\sum_{j\in L\cup\{\alpha\}}v_j)}{\widehat{\vartheta}_{\gamma,r}(\sum_{j=1}^{n+m}(u_j-v_j))}\Big|_{u_\alpha=u_\beta}=0. \qquad (4.23)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $u_\alpha=u_\beta, (\alpha\neq\beta)$ are not pole. They are regular points. By the same manner, we have

$$
\mathrm{Res}_{u_\alpha=u_\beta}\mathrm{RHS}(n,m)=0, \quad \mathrm{Res}_{v_\alpha=v_\beta}\mathrm{LHS}(n,m)=0, \quad \mathrm{Res}_{v_\alpha=v_\beta}\mathrm{RHS}(n,m)=0. \qquad (4.24)
$$

Therefore points $u_\alpha=u_\beta$ and $v_\alpha=v_\beta$ are not poles. Therefore candidates of poles are restricted to only $u_\alpha=u_\beta+1$, $v_\alpha=v_\beta+1$ and $\widehat{\vartheta}_{\gamma,r}(\{u_\alpha\}|\{u_\beta\})=0$. We show the equation $\mathrm{LHS}(n,m)=\mathrm{RHS}(n,m)$ by the induction of the number $n+m$. We assume $n>m\geqq 1$ without loosing generality. (The case $n=m$ is trivial.) At first we show the starting point $n>m=1$. We would like to show $\mathrm{LHS}(n,1)=\mathrm{RHS}(n,1)$. Let us take the residue at $u_1=u_2+1$ and $v_1=v_2+1$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Res}_{u_1=u_2+1}\mathrm{Res}_{v_1=v_2+1}\mathrm{LHS}(n,1)\\
=\ &\mathrm{Res}_{u=0}\frac{[v_1-u+\frac{s}{2}]_r[u-v_1+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r}{[u]_r[1]_r}\mathrm{Res}_{u=0}\frac{[u-v_1+\frac{s}{2}]_r[v_1-u+\frac{s}{2}+1]_r}{[u]_r[1]_r}\\
\times\ &\prod_{j=3}^{n+1}\frac{[v_1-u_j+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r[u_j-v_1+\frac{s}{2}]_r[u_1-v_j+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r[v_j-u_1+\frac{s}{2}]_r}{[u_1-u_j-1]_r[u_j-u_1]_r[v_1-v_j-1]_r[v_j-v_1]_r}\\
\times\ &\frac{\widehat{\vartheta}_{\alpha,r}(\sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq 1}}^{n+1}u_j-\sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq 1}}^{n+1}v_j)\widehat{\vartheta}_{\beta,r}(u_1-v_1)}{\widehat{\vartheta}_{\gamma,r}(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1}(u_j-v_j))}\Bigg|_{\substack{u_1=u_2+1\\ v_1=v_2+1}}\\
=\ &\mathrm{Res}_{u_1=u_2+1}\mathrm{Res}_{v_1=v_2+1}\mathrm{RHS}(n,1). \qquad (4.25)
\end{aligned}
$$

Because both $\mathrm{LHS}(n,1)$ and $\mathrm{RHS}(n,1)$ are symmetric with respect with $u_1,u_2,\cdots,u_{n+1}$ and $v_1,v_2,\cdots,v_{n+1}$, we have

$$
\mathrm{Res}_{u_\alpha=u_\beta+1}\mathrm{Res}_{v_\gamma=v_\delta+1}\mathrm{LHS}(n,1)=\mathrm{Res}_{u_\alpha=u_\beta+1}\mathrm{Res}_{v_\gamma=v_\delta+1}\mathrm{RHS}(n,1). \qquad (4.26)
$$

After taking the residues finitely many times, every residue relation which comes from $\mathrm{LHS}(n,1)=\mathrm{RHS}(n,1)$, is reduced to the above residue relation (4.26) at $u_\alpha=u_\beta+1, v_\gamma=v_\delta+1$. Hence we have proved the starting point $n>m=1$. For the second, we show the general $n>m\geqq 1$ case. We assume the equation $\mathrm{LHS}(n-1,m-1)=\mathrm{RHS}(n-1,m-1)$

for some (n,m). Let us take the residue at $u_1=u_2+1$ and $v_1=v_2+1$ of $L(n,m)-R(n,m)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Res}_{u_1=u_2+1}\mathrm{Res}_{v_1=v_2+1}\left(\mathrm{LHS}(n,m)-\mathrm{RHS}(n,m)\right)\\
=\;&\mathrm{Res}_{u=0}\mathrm{Res}_{v=0}\frac{[u_1-v_1+\frac{s}{2}]_r[v_1-u_1+\frac{s}{2}]_r[u_1-v_1+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r[v_1-u_1+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r}{[u]_r[v]_r[1]_r[1]_r}\\
\times\;&\prod_{j=3}^{n+m}\frac{[v_1-u_j+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r[u_j-v_1+\frac{s}{2}]_r[v_j-u_1+\frac{s}{2}]_r[u_1-v_j+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r}{[u_1-u_j-1]_r[u_j-u_1]_r[v_1-v_j-1]_r[v_j-v_1]_r}\\
\times\;&\sum_{\substack{K\cup K^c=\{3,\cdots,n+m\}\\|K|=m-1,|K^c|=n-1}}\;\sum_{\substack{L\cup L^c=\{3,\cdots,n+m\}\\|L|=m-1,|L^c|=n-1}}\frac{\widehat{\vartheta}_{\alpha,r}(\sum_{j\in K^c}u_j-\sum_{j\in L^c}v_j+u_1-v_1)\widehat{\vartheta}_{\beta,r}(\sum_{j\in K}u_j-\sum_{j\in L}v_j+u_1-v_1)}{\widehat{\vartheta}_{\gamma,r}(\sum_{j=3}^{n+m}(u_j-v_j)+2(u_1-v_1))}\\
\times\;&\left(\frac{\prod_{\substack{j\in L^c\\k\in K}}[v_j-u_k+\dfrac{s}{2}]_r[u_k-v_j+\dfrac{s}{2}-1]_r\prod_{\substack{i\in K^c\\l\in L}}[u_i-v_l+\dfrac{s}{2}]_r[v_l-u_i+\dfrac{s}{2}-1]_r}{\prod_{\substack{i\in K^c\\k\in K}}[u_i-u_k]_r[u_k-u_i-1]_r\prod_{\substack{j\in L^c\\l\in L}}[v_j-v_l]_r[v_l-v_j-1]_r}\right.\\
-\;&\left.\frac{\prod_{\substack{l\in L\\i\in K^c}}[v_l-u_i+\dfrac{s}{2}]_r[u_i-v_l+\dfrac{s}{2}-1]_r\prod_{\substack{k\in K\\j\in L^c}}[u_k-v_j+\dfrac{s}{2}]_r[v_j-u_k+\dfrac{s}{2}-1]_r}{\prod_{\substack{i\in K^c\\k\in K}}[u_k-u_i]_r[u_i-u_k-1]_r\prod_{\substack{j\in L^c\\l\in L}}[v_l-v_j]_r[v_j-v_l-1]_r}\right)=0.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.27}$$

We have used the hypothesis of the induction: $\mathrm{LHS}(n-1,m-1)=\mathrm{RHS}(n-1,m-1)$. Because both $\mathrm{LHS}(n,m)$ and $\mathrm{RHS}(n,m)$ are symmetric with respect with $u_1,u_2,\cdots,u_{m+n}$ and $v_1,v_2,\cdots,v_{m+n}$, we have

$$\mathrm{Res}_{u_\alpha=u_\beta+1}\mathrm{Res}_{v_\gamma=v_\delta+1}\mathrm{LHS}(n,m)=\mathrm{Res}_{u_\alpha=u_\beta+1}\mathrm{Res}_{v_\gamma=v_\delta+1}\mathrm{RHS}(n,m),\tag{4.28}$$

for arbitrary $1\leqq\alpha\neq\beta\leqq n+m$ and $1\leqq\gamma\neq\delta\leqq n+m$. After taking the residues finitely many times, every residue relation which comes from $\mathrm{LHS}(n,m)=\mathrm{RHS}(n,m)$, is reduced to the above residue relation (4.28). Hence we have shown $\mathrm{LHS}(n,m)=\mathrm{RHS}(n,m)$ for general $n,m=1,2,\cdots$. Q.E.D.

Now let us show the commutation relation, $[\mathcal{G}_n,\mathcal{G}_m]=0$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1 We study the case : $0<\mathrm{Re}(s)<2$ and $\mathrm{Re}(r)>0$. Other cases are similar. Hence the integrand of the nonlocal integrals of motion satisfies the S_n-invarince

$$\begin{aligned}
& F_1(z_{\sigma(1)})F_1(z_{\sigma(2)})\cdots F_1(z_{\sigma(n)})F_0(w_{\rho(1)})F_0(w_{\rho(2)})\cdots F_0(w_{\rho(n)}) \\
\times & \frac{\prod_{1\leqq i<j\leqq n}[u_{\sigma(i)}-u_{\sigma(j)}]_r[u_{\sigma(j)}-u_{\sigma(i)}-1]_r[v_{\rho(i)}-v_{\rho(j)}]_r[v_{\rho(j)}-v_{\rho(i)}-1]_r}{\prod_{i,j=1}^{n}[u_{\sigma(i)}-v_{\rho(j)}+\frac{s}{2}]_r[v_{\rho(j)}-u_{\sigma(i)}+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r} \\
= & \prod_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ 1\leqq j\leqq n}} F_1(z_j) \prod_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ 1\leqq j\leqq n}} F_0(w_j) \frac{\prod_{1\leqq i<j\leqq n}[u_i-u_j]_r[u_j-u_i-1]_r[v_i-v_j]_r[v_j-v_i-1]_r}{\prod_{i,j=1}^{n}[u_i-v_j+\frac{s}{2}]_r[v_j-u_i+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r}, \\
& \text{for} \quad \sigma,\rho\in S_n. \qquad (4.29)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_n\cdot\mathcal{G}_m = & \Bigg[\prod_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ 1\leqq j\leqq n+m}} F_1(z_j) \prod_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ 1\leqq j\leqq n+m}} F_0(w_j) \\
& \times \frac{\prod_{1\leqq i<j\leqq n+m}[u_i-u_j]_r[u_j-u_i-1]_r[v_i-v_j]_r[v_j-v_i-1]_r}{\prod_{i,j=1}^{n+m}[u_i-v_j+\frac{s}{2}]_r[v_j-u_i+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r} \\
& \times \frac{1}{((n+m)!)^2}\sum_{\sigma,\rho\in S_{n+m}} \vartheta_{\alpha,r}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}(u_{\sigma(j)}-v_{\rho(j)})\right)\vartheta_{\beta,r}\left(\sum_{j=n+1}^{n+m}(u_{\sigma(j)}-v_{\rho(j)})\right) \\
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{n}\prod_{j=n+1}^{n+m}\frac{[v_{\rho(i)}-u_{\sigma(j)}+\frac{s}{2}]_r[u_{\sigma(i)}-v_{\rho(j)}+\frac{s}{2}]_r}{[u_{\sigma(i)}-u_{\sigma(j)}]_r[v_{\rho(i)}-v_{\rho(j)}]_r} \\
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{n}\prod_{j=n+1}^{n+m}\frac{[u_{\sigma(j)}-v_{\rho(i)}+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r[v_{\rho(j)}-u_{\sigma(i)}+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r}{[u_{\sigma(j)}-u_{\sigma(i)}-1]_r[v_{\rho(j)}-v_{\rho(i)}-1]_r}\Bigg]_{1,z_1\cdots z_{n+m}w_1\cdots w_{n+m}} \qquad (4.30)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have the following theta function identity as a sufficient condition of the commutation relation $\mathcal{G}_n\cdot\mathcal{G}_m=\mathcal{G}_m\cdot\mathcal{G}_n$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{K\cup K^c=\{1,\cdots,n+m\} \\ |K|=n,\ K\cap K^c=\varnothing}} \sum_{\substack{L\cup L^c=\{1,\cdots,n+m\} \\ |L|=n,\ L\cap L^c=\varnothing}} \vartheta_{\alpha,r}(\sum_{j\in K}u_j-\sum_{j\in L}v_j)\vartheta_{\beta,r}(\sum_{j\in K^c}u_j-\sum_{j\in L^c}v_j) \\
& \times \prod_{\substack{i\in K^c \\ k\in K}}\prod_{\substack{j\in L^c \\ l\in L}} \frac{[v_j-u_k+\frac{s}{2}]_r[u_i-v_l+\frac{s}{2}]_r[u_k-v_j+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r[v_l-u_i+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r}{[u_i-u_k]_r[v_j-v_l]_r[u_k-u_i-1]_r[v_l-v_j-1]_r} \\
= & \sum_{\substack{K\cup K^c=\{1,\cdots,n+m\} \\ |K|=m,\ K\cap K^c=\varnothing}} \sum_{\substack{L\cup L^c=\{1,\cdots,n+m\} \\ |L|=m,\ L\cap L^c=\varnothing}} \vartheta_{\alpha,r}(\sum_{j\in K^c}u_j-\sum_{j\in L^c}v_j)\vartheta_{\beta,r}(\sum_{j\in K}u_j-\sum_{j\in L}v_j) \\
& \times \prod_{\substack{i\in K^c \\ k\in K}}\prod_{\substack{j\in L^c \\ l\in L}} \frac{[v_l-u_i+\frac{s}{2}]_r[u_k-v_j+\frac{s}{2}]_r[u_i-v_l+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r[v_j-u_k+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r}{[u_k-u_i]_r[v_l-v_j]_r[u_i-u_k-1]_r[v_j-v_l-1]_r}.
\end{aligned}$$

(4.31)

This is special case $\nu_\alpha = \nu_\beta = 0$ of the equation (4.16). (In order to use induction, we have introduced additional parameters ν_α, ν_β to (4.16).) We have shown the commutation reltion, $[\mathcal{G}_m, \mathcal{G}_n] = 0$. Q.E.D.

4.3 Proof of $[\mathcal{G}_m, \mathcal{G}_n^*] = 0$

In this section we show the commutation relation $[\mathcal{G}_m, \mathcal{G}_n^*] = 0$. The screening currents $E_j(z)$ and $F_j(z)$ almost commute

$$[E_1(z_1), F_1(z_2)] = \frac{1}{x - x^{-1}}(\delta(xz_2/z_1)H(x^r z_2) - \delta(xz_1/z_2)H(x^{-r}z_2)).$$

Hence, in order to show the commutation relation, remaining task for us is to check whether delta-function factors cancell out or not.

Proof of Theorem 4.2 For a while we study the following parameter case: $0 < \mathrm{Re}(r) < 1$ and $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 2$. For reader's convenience, we show the simple case $[\mathcal{G}_1^*, \mathcal{G}_1] = 0$ at first. Using the commutation relations of the screening currents $E_j(z), F_j(z)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{G}_1^*, \mathcal{G}_1] &= \int\int\int_{C_1} \frac{dz_1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_1}\frac{dz_2}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_2}\frac{dw_2}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_2} B_1(x^r z_1|z_1, z_2, w_2) \\
&- \int\int\int_{\widetilde{C}_1} \frac{dz_1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_1}\frac{dz_2}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_2}\frac{dw_2}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_2} B_1(x^{-r} z_1|z_1, z_2, w_2) \\
&+ \int\int\int_{C_2} \frac{dz_1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_1}\frac{dz_2}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_2}\frac{dw_1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_1} B_2(x^r z_2|z_1, z_2, w_1) \\
&- \int\int\int_{\widetilde{C}_2} \frac{dz_1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_1}\frac{dz_2}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_2}\frac{dw_1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_1} B_2(x^{-r} z_2|z_1, z_2, w_1). \qquad (4.32)
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have set

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1(z|z_1, z_2, w_2) &= \frac{1}{x - x^{-1}} H(z) E_0(w_2) F_0(z_2) \\
&\times \frac{\vartheta_{\alpha,r}(u_1 - u_2)\vartheta_{\beta,-r^*}(u - v_2 - \frac{r^*}{2})}{[u - \frac{r^*}{2} - v_2 + \frac{s}{2}]_{-r^*}[u - \frac{r^*}{2} - v_2 - \frac{s}{2} + 1]_{-r^*}[u_1 - u_2 + \frac{s}{2}]_r[u_1 - u_2 - \frac{s}{2} + 1]_r},
\end{aligned} \qquad (4.33)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_2(z|z_1, z_2, w_1) &= \frac{1}{x - x^{-1}} F_1(z_1) E_1(w_1) \tau(H(z)) \\
&\times \frac{\vartheta_{\alpha,r}(u_1 - u_2)\vartheta_{\beta,-r^*}(v_1 - u + \frac{r^*}{2})}{[v_1 - u + \frac{r^*}{2} + \frac{s}{2}]_{-r^*}[v_1 - u + \frac{r^*}{2} - \frac{s}{2} + 1]_{-r^*}[u_1 - u_2 + \frac{s}{2}]_r[u_1 - u_2 - \frac{s}{2} + 1]_r}.
\end{aligned} \qquad (4.34)$$

where the integral contours $C_1, C_2, \widetilde{C}_1, \widetilde{C}_2$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 \ &: \ |x^s z_2|, |x^{2-s} z_2| < |z_1| < |x^{-2r+s-2} z_2|, |x^{-2r-s} z_2|, \\ &\quad |x^{s-1-2r^*} w_2|, |x^{-s+1-2r^*} w_2| < |z_1| < |x^{-s-1} w_2|, |x^{s-3} w_2|, \quad |z_2| < |x w_2|, \end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{C}_1 \ &: \ |x^{s+2r} z_2|, |x^{2-s+2r} z_2| < |z_1| < |x^{s-2} z_2|, |x^{-s} z_2|, \\ &\quad |x^{s+1} w_2|, |x^{-s+3} w_2| < |z_1| < |x^{-s-1+2r} w_2|, |x^{s-3+2r} w_2|, \quad |z_2| < |x w_2|, \end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} C_2 \ &: \ |x^{s-1-2r^*} w_1|, |x^{-s+1+2r^*} w_1| < |z_2| < |x^{s-3} w_1|, |x^{-s-1} w_1|, \\ &\quad |x^s z_1|, |x^{2-s} z_1| < |z_2| < |x^{s-2-2r} z_1|, |x^{-s-2r} z_1|, \quad |z_1| < |x w_1|, \end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{C}_2 \ &: \ |x^{s+1} w_1|, |x^{-s+3} w_1| < |z_2| < |x^{s+2r^*-1} w_1|, |x^{-s+2r^*} w_1|, \\ &\quad |x^{s+2r} z_1|, |x^{2-s+2r} z_1| < |z_2| < |x^{s-2} z_1|, |x^{-s} z_1|, \quad |z_1| < |x w_1|. \end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

When we change the variablw $z_1 \to x^{-2r} z_1$ in the first term in RHS of the equation (4.32), the integrand $B_1(x^r z_1 | z_1, z_2, w_2)$, is deformed to $B_1(x^{-r} z_1 | z_1, z_2, w_2) = B_1(x^{-r} z_1 | x^{-2r} z_1, z_2, w_2)$, and the contour C_1 is deformed to exactly the same as $\widetilde{C}_1$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int\int\int_{C_1} \frac{dz_1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1} z_1} \frac{dz_2}{2\pi\sqrt{-1} z_2} \frac{dw_2}{2\pi\sqrt{-1} w_2} B_1(x^r z_1 | z_1, z_2, w_2) \\ =&\int\int\int_{\widetilde{C}_1} \frac{dz_1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1} z_1} \frac{dz_2}{2\pi\sqrt{-1} z_2} \frac{dw_2}{2\pi\sqrt{-1} w_2} B_1(x^{-r} z_1 | z_1, z_2, w_2). \end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

As the same manner we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int\int\int_{C_2} \frac{dz_1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1} z_1} \frac{dz_2}{2\pi\sqrt{-1} z_2} \frac{dw_1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1} w_1} B_2(x^r z_1 | z_1, z_2, w_1) \\ =&\int\int\int_{\widetilde{C}_2} \frac{dz_1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1} z_1} \frac{dz_2}{2\pi\sqrt{-1} z_2} \frac{dw_1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1} w_1} B_2(x^{-r} z_1 | z_1, z_2, w_1). \end{aligned} \tag{4.40}$$

Therefore we have the commutation relation $[\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_1^*] = 0$. Generalization to generic parameter $s \in \mathbb{C}, 0 < \mathrm{Re}(r) < 1$ should be understood as analytic continuation.

For the second we show the commutation relation $[\mathcal{G}_n, \mathcal{G}_m^*] = 0$. For a while we study the following parameter case: $0 < \mathrm{Re}(r) < 1$ and $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 2$. Using the commutation relations of the screening currents, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &[\mathcal{G}_n^*, \mathcal{G}_m] \\ =\ & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int \cdots \int_{C_{ij}} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{dz_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1} z_k} \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^{m} \frac{dw_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1} w_k} B_{i,j}^{(n,m)} \left(x^r z_i, \{z_k\}_{k=1}^{2n}, \{w_k\}_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^{2m} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{m}\int\cdots\int_{\widetilde{C}_{ij}}\prod_{k=1}^{n}\frac{dz_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_k}\prod_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq i}}^{m}\frac{dw_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_k}B_{i,j}^{(n,m)}\left(x^{-r}z_j,\{z_k\}_{k=1}^{2n},\{w_k\}_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq i}}^{2m}\right)\\
&+ \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n}\sum_{j=m+1}^{2m}\int\cdots\int_{C_{ij}}\prod_{k=1}^{n}\frac{dz_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_k}\prod_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq i}}^{m}\frac{dw_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_k}B_{i,j}^{(n,m)}\left(x^{-r}z_j,\{z_k\}_{k=1}^{2n},\{w_k\}_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq i}}^{2m}\right)\\
&- \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n}\sum_{j=m+1}^{2m}\int\cdots\int_{\widetilde{C}_{ij}}\prod_{k=1}^{n}\frac{dz_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_k}\prod_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq i}}^{m}\frac{dw_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_k}B_{i,j}^{(n,m)}\left(x^{-r}z_j,\{z_k\}_{k=1}^{2n},\{w_k\}_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq i}}^{2m}\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.41}$$

Here we have set for $1 \leqq i \leqq n$ and $1 \leqq j \leqq m$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&B_{i,j}^{(n,m)}\left(z,\{z_k\}_{k=1}^{2n},\{w_k\}_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq i}}^{2m}\right)\\
= \; & \frac{1}{x-x^{-1}}F_1(z_1)\cdots F_1(z_{j-1})E_1(w_1)\cdots E_1(w_{i-1})H(z)\\
\times \; & F_1(z_{j+1})\cdots F_1(z_m)E_1(w_{i+1})\cdots E_1(w_n)E_0(w_{n+1})\cdots E_0(w_{2n})F_0(z_{m+1})\cdots F_0(z_{2m})\\
\times \; & \vartheta_{\alpha,-r^*}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}v_k-\sum_{l=n+1}^{2n}v_l\right)\vartheta_{\beta,r}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m}u_k-\sum_{l=m+1}^{2m}u_l\right)\\
\times \; & \frac{\displaystyle\prod_{1\leqq k<l\leqq n}[v_k-v_l]_{-r^*}[v_l-v_k-1]_{-r^*}\prod_{n+1\leqq k<l\leqq 2n}[v_k-v_l]_{-r^*}[v_l-v_k-1]_{-r^*}}{\displaystyle\prod_{k=1}^{n}\prod_{l=n+1}^{2n}\left[v_k-v_l+\frac{s}{2}\right]_{-r^*}\left[v_k-v_l-\frac{s}{2}+1\right]_{-r^*}}\\
\times \; & \left.\frac{\displaystyle\prod_{1\leqq k<l\leqq m}[u_k-u_l]_{r}[u_l-u_k-1]_{r}\prod_{m+1\leqq k<l\leqq 2m}[u_k-u_l]_{r}[u_l-u_k-1]_{r}}{\displaystyle\prod_{k=1}^{m}\prod_{l=m+1}^{2m}\left[u_k-u_l+\frac{s}{2}\right]_{r}\left[u_k-u_l-\frac{s}{2}+1\right]_{r}}\right|_{v_i=u-\frac{r^*}{2}},
\end{aligned}\tag{4.42}$$

and for $n+1 \leqq i \leqq 2n$ and $m+1 \leqq j \leqq 2m$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&B_{i,j}^{(n,m)}\left(z,\{z_k\}_{k=1}^{2m},\{w_k\}_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq i}}^{2n}\right)\\
= \; & \frac{1}{x-x^{-1}}F_1(z_1)\cdots F_1(z_m)E_1(w_1)\cdots E_1(w_n)\\
\times \; & F_0(z_{m+1})\cdots F_0(z_{j-1})E_0(w_{n+1})\cdots E_0(w_{i-1})\tau(H(z))\\
\times \; & F_0(z_{j+1})\cdots F_0(z_{2m})E_0(w_{i+1})\cdots E_0(w_{2n})\\
\times \; & \vartheta_{\alpha,-r^*}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}v_k-\sum_{l=n+1}^{2n}v_l\right)\vartheta_{\beta,r}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m}u_k-\sum_{l=m+1}^{2m}u_l\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times \frac{\displaystyle\prod_{1\leqq k<l\leqq n}[v_k-v_l]_{-r^*}[v_l-v_k-1]_{-r^*}\prod_{n+1\leqq k<l\leqq 2n}[u_k-v_l]_{-r^*}[v_l-v_k-1]_{-r^*}}{\displaystyle\prod_{k=1}^{n}\prod_{l=n+1}^{2n}\left[v_k-v_l+\frac{s}{2}\right]_{-r^*}\left[v_k-v_l-\frac{s}{2}+1\right]_{-r^*}}$$

$$\times \left.\frac{\displaystyle\prod_{1\leqq k<l\leqq m}[u_k-u_l]_r[u_l-u_k-1]_r\prod_{m+1\leqq k<l\leqq 2m}[u_k-u_l]_r[u_l-u_k-1]_r}{\displaystyle\prod_{k=1}^{m}\prod_{l=m+1}^{2m}\left[u_k-u_l+\frac{s}{2}\right]_r\left[u_k-u_l-\frac{s}{2}+1\right]_r}\right|_{v_i=u-\frac{r^*}{2}}, \quad (4.43)$$

where the integral contours $C_{i,j}, \widetilde{C}_{i,j}$ are given by as follows. For $1 \leqq i \leqq n, 1 \leqq j \leqq m$ we set

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{i,j} \quad : \quad & |x^{s-1-2r^*}w_k|, |x^{-s+1-2r^*}w_k| < |z_j| < |x^{-s-1}w_k|, |x^{s-3}w_k|, \quad (n+1 \leqq k \neq i \leqq 2n), \\
& |x^{s}z_k|, |x^{2-s}z_k| < |z_j| < |x^{-2r+s-2}z_k|, |x^{-2r-s}z_k|, \quad (m+1 \leqq k \neq j \leqq 2m), \quad (4.44) \\
\widetilde{C}_{i,j} \quad : \quad & |x^{s+1}w_k|, |x^{-s+3}w_k| < |z_j| < |x^{-s+1+2r^*}w_k|, |x^{s-1+2r^*}w_k|, \quad (n+1 \leqq k \neq i \leqq 2n), \\
& |x^{s+2r}z_k|, |x^{2-s+2r}z_k| < |z_j| < |x^{s-2}z_k|, |x^{-s}z_k|, \quad (m+1 \leqq k \neq j \leqq 2m), \quad (4.45)
\end{aligned}$$

and for $n+1 \leqq i \leqq 2n, m+1 \leqq j \leqq 2m$ we set

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{i,j} \quad : \quad & |x^{s-1-2r^*}w_k|, |x^{-s+1+2r^*}w_k| < |z_j| < |x^{s-3}w_k|, |x^{-s-1}w_k|, \quad (n+1 \leqq k \neq i \leqq 2n), \\
& |x^{s}z_k|, |x^{2-s}z_k| < |z_j| < |x^{s-2-2r}z_k|, |x^{-s-2r}z_k|, \quad (m+1 \leqq k \neq j \leqq 2m), \quad (4.46) \\
\widetilde{C}_{i,j} \quad : \quad & |x^{s+1}w_k|, |x^{-s+3}w_k| < |z_j| < |x^{s+2r^*-1}w_k|, |x^{-s+2r^*}w_k|, \quad (n+1 \leqq k \neq i \leqq 2n), \\
& |x^{s+2r}z_k|, |x^{2-s+2r}z_k| < |z_j| < |x^{s-2}z_k|, |x^{-s}z_k|, \quad (m+1 \leqq k \neq j \leqq 2m). \quad (4.47)
\end{aligned}$$

When we change the variable $z_j \to x^{-2r}z_j$ in the first term in LHS of (4.41), both integrand and integral contour are deformed to the same as the second term of (4.41). Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int \cdots \int_{C_{ij}} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{dz_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_k} \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k\neq i}}^{m} \frac{dw_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_k} B_{i,j}^{(n,m)}\left(x^r z_i, \{z_k\}_{k=1}^{2n}, \{w_k\}_{\substack{k=1 \\ k\neq j}}^{2m}\right) \\
= \; & \int \cdots \int_{\widetilde{C}_{ij}} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{dz_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_k} \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k\neq i}}^{m} \frac{dw_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_k} B_{i,j}^{(n,m)}\left(x^{-r} z_j, \{z_k\}_{k=1}^{2n}, \{w_k\}_{\substack{k=1 \\ k\neq i}}^{2m}\right). \quad (4.48)
\end{aligned}$$

By the same arguments as above we have $[\mathcal{G}_n^*, \mathcal{G}_m] = 0$ for $0 < \mathrm{Re}(r) < 1$ and $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 2$. Generalization to generic parameter $0 < \mathrm{Re}(r) < 1$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$ should be understood as analytic continuation. Q.E.D.

4.4 Proof of Dynkin Automorphism Invariance $\eta(\mathcal{G}_n)=\mathcal{G}_n$

In this section we show the invariance condition $\eta(\mathcal{G}_n)=\mathcal{G}_n$ and $\eta(\mathcal{G}_n^*)=\mathcal{G}_n^*$. For reader's convenience, we explain $\eta(\mathcal{G}_1)=\mathcal{G}_1$ at first. We study the case : $0<\mathrm{Re}(r)$ and $0<\mathrm{Re}(s)<2$. From the definition of the Dynkin automorphism η, we have

$$\eta(\mathcal{G}_1)=\int\int_I\prod_{j=1}^{2}\frac{dz_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_j}F_0(z_1)F_1(z_2)\frac{\vartheta_{\alpha,r}(u_1-u_2)|_{\widehat{\pi}\to-\widehat{\pi}}}{[u_1-u_2+\frac{s}{2}]_r[u_2-u_1+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r}. \tag{4.49}$$

Exchanging the ordering of $F_1(z_1)$ and $F_0(z_2)$, and changing the variables $u_1\to u_2$ and $u_2\to u_1$, we have

$$\eta(\mathcal{G}_1)\;=\;\int\int_I\prod_{j=1}^{2}\frac{dz_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_j}F_1(z_1)F_0(z_2)\frac{\vartheta_{-\alpha,r}(u_1-u_2)}{[u_1-u_2+\frac{s}{2}]_r[u_2-u_1+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r}. \tag{4.50}$$

The relation $\vartheta_{\alpha,r}(u)=\vartheta_{-\alpha,r}(u)$ implies $\eta(\mathcal{G}_1)=\mathcal{G}_1$. Generalization to generic parameter $0<\mathrm{Re}(r)$ and $s\in\mathbb{C}$ should be understood as analytic continuation.

Proof. Let us show $\tau(\mathcal{G}_n)=\mathcal{G}_n$. We study the case : $0<\mathrm{Re}(r)$ and $0<\mathrm{Re}(s)<2$. From the definition of the Dynkin automorphism τ, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\eta(\mathcal{G}_n)\;=\;&\int\cdots\int_I\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{dz_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_j}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{dw_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_j}\prod_{\overrightarrow{1\leqq j\leqq n}}F_0(z_j)\prod_{\overrightarrow{1\leqq j\leqq n}}F_1(w_j)\\ &\times\;\frac{\prod_{1\leqq i<j\leqq n}[u_i-u_j]_r[u_j-u_i-1]_r[v_i-v_j]_r[v_j-v_i-1]_r}{\prod_{i,j=1}^{n}[u_i-v_j+\frac{s}{2}]_r[v_j-u_i+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r}\,\vartheta_{\alpha,r}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}(u_j-v_j)\right)\Bigg|_{\widehat{\pi}\to-\widehat{\pi}}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.51}$$

Exchanging the ordering of $F_1(z_j)$ and $F_0(w_k)$, and changing the variables $u_j\to v_j$ and $v_j\to u_j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\eta(\mathcal{G}_n)\;=\;&\int\cdots\int_I\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{dz_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_j}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{dw_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_j}\prod_{\overrightarrow{1\leqq j\leqq n}}F_1(w_j)\prod_{\overrightarrow{1\leqq j\leqq n}}F_0(z_j)\\ &\times\;\frac{\prod_{1\leqq i<j\leqq n}[u_i-u_j]_r[u_j-u_i-1]_r[v_i-v_j]_r[v_j-v_i-1]_r}{\prod_{i,j=1}^{n}[u_i-v_j+\frac{s}{2}]_r[v_j-u_i+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r}\,\vartheta_{\alpha,r}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}(v_j-u_j)\right)\Bigg|_{\widehat{\pi}\to-\widehat{\pi}}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.52}$$

Using the relation $\vartheta_{\alpha,r}(u)=\vartheta_{\alpha,r}(-u)|_{\widehat{\pi}\to-\widehat{\pi}}$, we have $\eta(\mathcal{G}_n)=\mathcal{G}_n$. Generalization to generic parameter $0<\mathrm{Re}(r)$ and $s\in\mathbb{C}$ should be understood as analytic continuation. The proof of the invariance $\eta(\mathcal{G}_n^*)=\mathcal{G}_n^*$ is given by the same manner. Q.E.D.

4.5 Proof of $[\mathcal{I}_m, \mathcal{G}_n] = 0$

In this section we show the commutation relations $[\mathcal{I}_m, \mathcal{G}_n] = 0$. The operators $\Lambda_j(z)$ and $F_j(z)$ commute almost everywhere.

$$[\Lambda_1(z_1), F_1(z_2)] = (x^{-r^*} - x^{r^*})\delta(x^r z_1/z_2)\mathcal{A}(x^{-r} z_2).$$

Hence, in order to show the commutation relation, remaining task for us is to check whether delta-function factors cancell out or not. At first we summarize simple case $[\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{G}_n] = 0$, for reader's convenience.

Proof of $[\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{G}_n] = 0$ For a while we restrict our interest to the case $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 2$, $0 < \mathrm{Re}(r) < 1$, and $\mathrm{Re}(2r) < \mathrm{Re}(s)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{G}_n] \\
= \; & (x^{-r^*} - x^{r^*}) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int \cdots \int_{\widetilde{I}(j)} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{dz_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_k} \frac{dw_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_k} F_1(z_1) \cdots F_1(z_{j-1}) \\
\times \; & \mathcal{A}(x^{-r} z_j) F_1(z_{j+1}) \cdots F_1(z_n) F_0(w_1) \cdots F_0(w_n) \\
\times \; & \frac{\prod_{1 \leqq k < l \leqq n} [u_k - u_l]_r [u_k - u_l + 1]_r [v_k - v_l]_r [v_k - v_l + 1]_r}{\prod_{k,l=1}^{n} [u_k - v_l + \frac{s}{2}]_r [v_l - u_k + \frac{s}{2} - 1]_r} \vartheta_{\alpha,r} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} (u_j - v_j) \right) \\
- \; & (x^{-r^*} - x^{r^*}) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int \cdots \int_{\widetilde{I'}(j)} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{dz_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_k} \frac{dw_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_k} F_1(z_1) \cdots F_1(z_{j-1}) \\
\times \; & \mathcal{A}(x^{r} z_j) F_1(z_{j+1}) \cdots F_1(z_n) F_0(w_1) \cdots F_0(w_n) \\
\times \; & \frac{\prod_{1 \leqq k < l \leqq n} [u_k - u_l]_r [u_k - u_l + 1]_r [v_k - v_l]_r [v_k - v_l + 1]_r}{\prod_{k,l=1}^{n} [u_k - v_l + \frac{s}{2}]_r [v_l - u_k + \frac{s}{2} - 1]_r} \vartheta_{\alpha,r} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} (u_j - v_j) \right) \\
+ \; & \eta \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_C \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\zeta} \int \cdots \int_I \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{dz_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_k} \frac{dw_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_k} F_0(z_1) \cdots F_0(z_n) \right. \\
\times \; & F_1(w_1) \cdots F_1(w_{j-1}) [T_1(\zeta), F_1(w_j)] F_1(w_{j+1}) \cdots F_1(w_n) \\
\times \; & \left. \frac{\prod_{1 \leqq k < l \leqq n} [u_k - u_l]_r [u_k - u_l + 1]_r [v_k - v_l]_r [v_k - v_l + 1]_r}{\prod_{k,l=1}^{n} [u_k - v_l + \frac{s}{2}]_r [v_l - u_k + \frac{s}{2} - 1]_r} \vartheta_{\alpha,r} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} (u_j - v_j) \right) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.53}$$

Here the contours $\widetilde{I}(j)$ and $\widetilde{I'}(j)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{I}(j) \; : \; & |x^{4r-2} z_{j+1}|, \cdots, |x^{4r-2} z_n| < |z_j| < |x^{-2r+2} z_1|, \cdots, |x^{-2r+2} z_{j-1}|, \\
& |x^s w_k|, |x^{2r-s+2} w_k| < |z_j| < |x^{s-2} w_k|, |x^{-s+2r} w_k|, \quad (1 \leqq k \leqq n),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{I}'(j) \ &: \ |x^{2r-2}z_{j+1}|,\cdots,|x^{2r-2}z_n|<|z_j|<|x^{-4r+2}z_1|,\cdots,|x^{-4r+2}z_{j-1}|,\\ &\quad |x^{s-2r}w_k|,|x^{-s+2}w_k|<|z_j|<|x^{s-2-2r}w_k|,|x^{-s}w_k|, \ \ (1\leqq k\leqq n). \qquad (4.54)\end{aligned}$$

Let us change the variable $z_j \to x^{2r}z_j$ of the first term of (4.53), upon condition $0<\mathrm{Re}(s)<2$, $0<\mathrm{Re}(r)<1$, $\mathrm{Re}(2r)<\mathrm{Re}(s)$. Using periodicity of the integrand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\cdots\int_{\widetilde{I}(j)}\prod_{k=1}^n\frac{dz_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_k}\frac{dw_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_k}F_1(z_1)\cdots F_1(z_{j-1})\\ \times\ &\mathcal{A}(x^{-r}z_j)F_1(z_{j+1})\cdots F_1(z_n)F_0(w_1)\cdots F_0(w_n)\\ \times\ &\frac{\prod_{1\leqq k<l\leqq n}[u_k-u_l]_r[u_k-u_l+1]_r[v_k-v_l]_r[v_k-v_l+1]_r}{\prod_{k,l=1}^n[u_k-v_l+\frac{s}{2}]_r[v_l-u_k+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r}\vartheta_{\alpha,r}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n(u_j-v_j)\right)\\ =\ &\int\cdots\int_{\widetilde{I}'(j)}\prod_{k=1}^n\frac{dz_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_k}\frac{dw_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_k}F_1(z_1)\cdots F_1(z_{j-1})\\ \times\ &\mathcal{A}(x^{r}z_j)F_1(z_{j+1})\cdots F_1(z_n)F_0(w_1)\cdots F_0(w_n)\\ \times\ &\frac{\prod_{1\leqq k<l\leqq n}[u_k-u_l]_r[u_k-u_l+1]_r[v_k-v_l]_r[v_k-v_l+1]_r}{\prod_{k,l=1}^n[u_k-v_l+\frac{s}{2}]_r[v_l-u_k+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r}\vartheta_{\alpha,r}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n(u_j-v_j)\right).\end{aligned}$$

By the same manner as above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_C\frac{d\zeta}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\zeta}\int\cdots\int_I\prod_{k=1}^n\frac{dz_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_k}\frac{dw_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_k}F_0(z_1)\cdots F_0(z_n)\\ \times\ &F_1(w_1)\cdots F_1(w_{j-1})[T_1(\zeta),F_1(w_j)]F_1(w_{j+1})\cdots F_1(w_n) \qquad (4.55)\\ \times\ &\frac{\prod_{1\leqq k<l\leqq n}[u_k-u_l]_r[u_k-u_l+1]_r[v_k-v_l]_r[v_k-v_l+1]_r}{\prod_{k,l=1}^n[u_k-v_l+\frac{s}{2}]_r[v_l-u_k+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r}\eta\left(\vartheta_{\alpha,r}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n(u_j-v_j)\right)\right)=0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have $[\mathcal{I}_1,\mathcal{G}_n]=0$. Generalization to generic $\mathrm{Re}(s)>0$ and $\mathrm{Re}(r)>0$ case, should be understood as analytic continuation. Q.E.D.

Proof of general case $[\mathcal{I}_m,\mathcal{G}_n]=0$ is given essentially the same manner as above. Because the integral contour of the local integral motion $\mathcal{I}_m$ is not cylinder, we are not allowed to use the symbol $\delta(z)$ directly. Before starting general proof of $[\mathcal{I}_m,\mathcal{G}_n]=0$, we review elementary fact about $\delta(z)$. Let us set the operators $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$ by

$$\mathcal{F}_1=\int\cdots\int_{C_1}\prod_{j=1}^m\frac{dw_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_j}f_1(w_1,\cdots,w_m)\Lambda_1(w_1), \qquad (4.56)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2=\int\cdots\int_{C_2}\prod_{j=1}^n\frac{dz_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_j}f_2(z_1,\cdots,z_n)F_1(z_1), \qquad (4.57)$$

where $f_1(w_1, \cdots, w_m)$ and $f_2(z_1, \cdots, z_n)$ are meromorphic functions. Let us set the region D_1 in which Laurent-series of $f_1(w_1, \cdots, w_m)$ exists, and the region D_2 in which Laurent-series of $f_2(z_1, \cdots, z_n)$ has Laurant-expansion exists. Let us set $\widetilde{C}_1(z_1) = \{(x^{-r}z_1, w_2, \cdots, w_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m | (w_1, \cdots, w_m) \in C_1\}$. If the contours $C_1 \subset D_1$, $C_2 \subset D_2$, and $\widetilde{C}_1(z_1) \subset D_1$ for any $(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n) \in C_2$, we have the following formulae, which we have already used above.

$$[\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2] = (x^{-r^*} - x^{r^*}) \left[\delta(x^{-r}z_1/w_1)\mathcal{A}(x^{-r}z_1) f_2(z_1, \cdots, z_n) f_1(x^{-r}z_1, w_2, \cdots, w_m)\right]_{1, z_1 \cdots z_n w_1 \cdots w_m}.$$

Even if $\widetilde{C}_1(z_1)$ is not included in D_1 for some $(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n) \in C_2$, we have a similar formulae.

Proposition 4.6

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2] &= (x^{-r^*} - x^{r^*}) \int \cdots \int_{C_2} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{dz_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_j} f_2(z_1, \cdots, z_n)\mathcal{A}(x^{-r}z_1) \\
&\times \left(\int \cdots \int_{C_1 \cap \left\{ \left| \frac{x^{-r}z_1}{w_1} \right| < 1 \right\}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{dw_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_j} \frac{f_1(w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_m)}{(1 - x^{-r}z_1/w_1)} \right. \\
&+ \left. \int \cdots \int_{C_1 \cap \left\{ \left| \frac{x^{-r}z_1}{w_1} \right| > 1 \right\}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{dw_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_j} \frac{\frac{x^r w_1}{z_1} f_1(w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_m)}{(1 - x^r w_1/z_1)} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.58}$$

Now let us start to show theorem for general case.

Proof of $[\mathcal{I}_m, \mathcal{G}_n] = 0$ For a while we restrict our interest to the regime $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 2$, $0 < \mathrm{Re}(r) < 1$ and $\mathrm{Re}(2r) < \mathrm{Re}(s)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\mathcal{I}_m, \mathcal{G}_n] \\
= \;& (x^{-r^*} - x^{r^*}) \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int \cdots \int_{\widetilde{I}(j)} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{dz_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_k} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{dw_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_k} \\
\times \;& \frac{\prod_{1 \leqq k < l \leqq n} [u_k - u_l]_r [u_k - u_l + 1]_r [v_k - v_l]_r [v_k - v_l + 1]_r}{\prod_{k,l=1}^{n} [u_k - v_l + \frac{s}{2}]_r [v_l - u_k + \frac{s}{2} - 1]_r} \vartheta_{\alpha,r} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} (u_j - v_j) \right) \\
\times \;& \left(\int_{I \cap \{ |\frac{x^{-r}z_j}{z'_i}| < 1 \}} \prod_{k=1}^{m} \frac{dz'_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z'_k} \frac{1}{(1 - x^{-r}z_j/z'_i)} + \int_{I \cap \{ |\frac{x^{-r}z_j}{z'_i}| > 1 \}} \prod_{k=1}^{m} \frac{dz'_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z'_k} \frac{x^r z'_i/z_j}{(1 - x^r z'_i/z_j)} \right) \\
\times \;& \prod_{1 \leqq k < l \leqq m} h(u'_k - u'_l) T_1(z'_1) \cdots T_1(z'_{i-1}) F_1(z_1) \cdots F_1(z_{j-1}) \\
\times \;& \mathcal{A}(x^{-r}z_j) F_1(z_{j+1}) \cdots F_1(z_n) F_0(w_1) \cdots F_0(w_n) T_1(z'_{i+1}) \cdots T_1(z'_m)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
- & \quad (x^{-r^*}-x^{r^*})\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\int\cdots\int_{\widetilde{I}'(j)}\prod_{k=1}^{n}\frac{dz_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_k}\prod_{k=1}^{n}\frac{dw_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_k}\\
\times & \quad \frac{\prod_{1\leqq k<l\leqq n}[u_k-u_l]_r[u_k-u_l+1]_r[v_k-v_l]_r[v_k-v_l+1]_r}{\prod_{k,l=1}^{n}[u_k-v_l+\frac{s}{2}]_r[v_l-u_k+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r}\vartheta_{\alpha,r}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}(u_j-v_j)\right)\\
\times & \quad \left(\int_{I\cap\{|\frac{x^rz_j}{z'_i}|<1\}}\prod_{k=1}^{m}\frac{dz'_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z'_k}\frac{1}{(1-x^rz_j/z'_i)}+\int_{I\cap\{|\frac{x^{-r}z_j}{z'_i}|>1\}}\prod_{k=1}^{m}\frac{dz'_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z'_k}\frac{x^rz'_i/z_j}{(1-x^rz'_i/z_j)}\right)\\
\times & \quad \prod_{1\leqq k<l\leqq m}h(u'_k-u'_l)T_1(z'_1)\cdots T_1(z'_{i-1})F_1(z_1)\cdots F_1(z_{j-1})\\
\times & \quad \mathcal{A}(x^rz_j)F_1(z_{j+1})\cdots F_1(z_n)F_0(w_1)\cdots F_0(w_n)T_1(z'_{i+1})\cdots T_1(z'_m)\\
+ & \quad \eta\left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\int\cdots\int_{I}\prod_{k=1}^{n}\frac{dz_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_k}\prod_{k=1}^{n}\frac{dw_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_k}\int\cdots\int_{C}\prod_{k=1}^{n}\frac{dz'_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z'_k}\right.\\
\times & \quad \frac{\prod_{1\leqq k<l\leqq n}[u_k-u_l]_r[u_k-u_l+1]_r[v_k-v_l]_r[v_k-v_l+1]_r}{\prod_{k,l=1}^{n}[u_k-v_l+\frac{s}{2}]_r[v_l-u_k+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r}\\
\times & \quad \vartheta_{\alpha,r}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}(u_j-v_j)\right)\prod_{1\leqq k<l\leqq n}h(u'_k-u'_l)\\
\times & \quad T_1(z'_1)\cdots T_1(z'_{i-1})F_0(z_1)\cdots F_0(z_n)F_1(w_1)\cdots F_1(w_{j-1})\\
\times & \quad \left.[T_1(z'_i),F_1(w_j)]F_1(w_{j+1})\cdots F_1(w_n)T_1(z'_{i+1})\cdots T_1(z'_n)\right). \qquad (4.59)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\widetilde{I}(j)$ and $\widetilde{I}'(j)$ are the same as given in proof of $[\mathcal{I}_1,\mathcal{G}_n]=0$. Let us change the variable $z_j\to x^{2r}z_j$ of the first term, upon condition $0<\mathrm{Re}(s)<2, 0<\mathrm{Re}(r)<1$ and $\mathrm{Re}(2r)<\mathrm{Re}(s)$. Using periodicity of integrand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int\cdots\int_{\widetilde{I}(j)}\prod_{k=1}^{n}\frac{dz_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_k}\prod_{k=1}^{n}\frac{dw_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_k}\int_{I\cap\{|\frac{x^{-r}z_j}{z'_i}|<1\}}\prod_{k=1}^{m}\frac{dz'_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z'_k}\frac{1}{(1-x^{-r}z_j/z'_i)}\\
\times & \quad \frac{\prod_{1\leqq k<l\leqq n}[u_k-u_l]_r[u_k-u_l+1]_r[v_k-v_l]_r[v_k-v_l+1]_r}{\prod_{k,l=1}^{n}[u_k-v_l+\frac{s}{2}]_r[v_l-u_k+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r}\\
\times & \quad \vartheta_{\alpha,r}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}(u_j-v_j)\right)\prod_{1\leqq k<l\leqq m}h(u'_k-u'_l)\\
\times & \quad T_1(z'_1)\cdots T_1(z'_{i-1})F_1(z_1)\cdots F_1(z_{j-1})\mathcal{A}(x^{-r}z_j)F_1(z_{j+1})\cdots F_1(z_n)\\
\times & \quad F_0(w_1)\cdots F_0(w_n)T_1(z'_{i+1})\cdots T_1(z'_m)\\
= & \quad \int\cdots\int_{\widetilde{I}'(j)}\prod_{k=1}^{n}\frac{dz_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_k}\prod_{k=1}^{n}\frac{dw_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_k}\int_{I\cap\{|\frac{x^{r}z_j}{z'_i}|<1\}}\prod_{k=1}^{m}\frac{dz'_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z'_k}\frac{1}{(1-x^{r}z_j/z'_i)}\\
\times & \quad \frac{\prod_{1\leqq k<l\leqq n}[u_k-u_l]_r[u_k-u_l+1]_r[v_k-v_l]_r[v_k-v_l+1]_r}{\prod_{k,l=1}^{n}[u_k-v_l+\frac{s}{2}]_r[v_l-u_k+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\times\ \vartheta_{\alpha,r}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}(u_j-v_j)\right)\prod_{1\leqq k<l\leqq m}h(u_k'-u_l')\\
&\times\ T_1(z_1')\cdots T_1(z_{i-1}')F_1(z_1)\cdots F_1(z_{j-1})\mathcal{A}(x^r z_j)F_1(z_{j+1})\cdots F_1(z_n)\\
&\times\ F_0(w_1)\cdots F_0(w_n)T_1(z_{i+1}')\cdots T_1(z_m'). \qquad (4.60)
\end{aligned}
$$

By the similar way, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\eta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\int\cdots\int_I\prod_{k=1}^{n}\frac{dz_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_k}\prod_{k=1}^{n}\frac{dw_k}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_k}\int\cdots\int_C\prod_{k=1}^{m}\frac{dz_k'}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_k'}\right.\\
&\times\ \frac{\prod_{1\leqq k<l\leqq n}[u_k-u_l]_r[u_k-u_l+1]_r[v_k-v_l]_r[v_k-v_l+1]_r}{\prod_{k,l=1}^{n}[u_k-v_l+\frac{s}{2}]_r[v_l-u_k+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r}\\
&\times\ \vartheta_{\alpha,r}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}(u_j-v_j)\right)\prod_{1\leqq k<l\leqq m}h(u_k'-u_l')\\
&\times\ T_1(z_1')\cdots T_1(z_{i-1}')F_0(z_1)\cdots F_0(z_n)F_1(w_1)\cdots F_1(w_{j-1})\\
&\times\ \left.[T_1(z_i'),F_1(w_j)]F_1(z_{j+1})\cdots F_1(z_n)T_1(z_{i+1}')\cdots T_1(z_m')\right)=0. \qquad (4.61)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we have $[\mathcal{I}_m,\mathcal{G}_n]=0$. Generalization to generic $\mathrm{Re}(s)>0$ and $\mathrm{Re}(r)>0$ case, should be understood as analytic continuation. Q.E.D.

5 Specialization upon $s=2$

In this section we study the specialization to $s=2$, and discuss relation to the Poisson-Virasoro algebra [6].

5.1 Local Integrals of Motion

In what follows we restrict our interst to the case $1<\mathrm{Re}(s)\leqq 2$.

Definition 5.1 *We set the local integrals of motion $\mathcal{I}_n^{DV}$ for the deformed Virasoro algebra ($s=2$) by*

$$
\mathcal{I}_n^{DV}=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\int_{|z_j|=1}\frac{dz_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_j}\prod_{1\leqq j<k\leqq n}h(u_k-u_j)|_{s=2}T^{DV}(z_1)\cdots T^{DV}(z_n). \qquad (5.1)
$$

Conjecture 5.1 *Upon specialization $s=2$ we have*

$$
[\mathcal{I}_n^{DV},\mathcal{I}_m^{DV}]=0,\quad \eta(\mathcal{I}_n^{DV})=\mathcal{I}_n^{DV},\quad (m,n=1,2,\cdots). \qquad (5.2)
$$

In what follows we give a supporting argument of this conjecture.

Definition 5.2 *Let us set the auxiliary operators* $\mathcal{I}_{m,l}$ *by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{m,l} &= \prod_{j=1}^{m+l} \int_{|z_j|=1} \frac{dz_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_j} T_1(z_1)\cdots T_1(z_m) T_2(x^{-1}z_{m+1})\cdots T_2(x^{-1}z_{m+l}) \\ &\times \prod_{1 \leqq i<j \leqq m} h(u_j-u_i) \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=m+1}^{m+l} h_{12}(u_j-u_i) \prod_{m+1\leqq i<j\leqq m+l} h_{22}(u_j-u_i). \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Here we have set

$$h_{12}(u)=h(u-1)h(u+1), \quad h_{22}(u)=h_{12}(u-1)h_{12}(u+1). \tag{5.4}$$

Proposition 5.2 *The local integrals of motion* $\mathcal{I}_n$ *are written by linear combination of the auxiliary operators* $\mathcal{I}_{m,l}$

$$\mathcal{I}_n = \mathcal{I}_{n,0} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{[\frac{n}{2}]} (-c)^\alpha s(x^{-2})^\alpha \frac{n!}{\alpha!(n-2\alpha)!} \mathcal{I}_{n-2\alpha,\alpha}. \tag{5.5}$$

Example

$$\mathcal{I}_2 = \mathcal{I}_{2,0} - 2cs(x^{-2})\mathcal{I}_{0,1}, \quad \mathcal{I}_3 = \mathcal{I}_{3,0} - 6cs(x^{-2})\mathcal{I}_{1,1}, \tag{5.6}$$

$$\mathcal{I}_4 = \mathcal{I}_{4,0} - 12cs(x^{-2})\mathcal{I}_{2,1} + 12c^2s(x^{-2})^2\mathcal{I}_{0,2}, \tag{5.7}$$

$$\mathcal{I}_5 = \mathcal{I}_{5,0} - 20cs(x^{-2})\mathcal{I}_{3,1} + 60c^2s(x^{-2})^2\mathcal{I}_{1,2}, \tag{5.8}$$

$$\mathcal{I}_6 = \mathcal{I}_{6,0} - 30cs(x^{-2})\mathcal{I}_{4,1} + 180c^2s(x^{-2})^2\mathcal{I}_{2,2} - 120c^3s(x^{-2})^3\mathcal{I}_{0,3}. \tag{5.9}$$

Definition 5.3 *Let us set "renormalized" local integrals of motion* $\mathcal{I}_n(s)$ *by*

$$\mathcal{I}_n(s) = \mathcal{I}_n + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{[\frac{n}{2}]} \sum_{\beta=\alpha}^{[\frac{n}{2}]} (-1)^{\alpha+\beta} c^\beta \cdot s(x^{-2})^\beta \frac{n!}{\beta!(n-2\beta)!} \left(\sum_{\substack{k_1,k_2,\cdots,k_\alpha \geqq 1 \\ k_1+\cdots+k_\alpha=\beta}} \frac{\beta!}{k_1!k_2!\cdots k_\alpha!} \right) \mathcal{I}_{n-2\beta}. \tag{5.10}$$

Proposition 5.3 *We have the commutation relation*

$$[\mathcal{I}_n(s), \mathcal{I}_m(s)] = 0 \quad (m,n=1,2,\cdots). \tag{5.11}$$

Example

$$\mathcal{I}_2(s) = \mathcal{I}_2 + 2cs(x^{-2})\mathcal{I}_0,\ \mathcal{I}_3(s) = \mathcal{I}_3 + 6cs(x^{-2})\mathcal{I}_1, \tag{5.12}$$

$$\mathcal{I}_4(s) = \mathcal{I}_4 + 12cs(x^{-2})\mathcal{I}_2 + 12c^2s(x^{-2})^2\mathcal{I}_0, \tag{5.13}$$

$$\mathcal{I}_5(s) = \mathcal{I}_5 + 20cs(x^{-2})\mathcal{I}_3 + 60c^2s(x^{-2})^2\mathcal{I}_1 \tag{5.14}$$

$$\mathcal{I}_6(s) = \mathcal{I}_6 + 30cs(x^{-2})\mathcal{I}_4 + 180c^2s(x^{-2})^2\mathcal{I}_2 + 120c^3s(x^{-2})^3\mathcal{I}_0. \tag{5.15}$$

Proposition 5.4 *"Renormalized" local integrals of motion $\mathcal{I}_n(s)$ is written by linear combination of difference $(\mathcal{I}_{m,l} - \mathcal{I}_{m,0})$.*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_n(s) &= \mathcal{I}_{n,0} + \sum_{\delta=1}^{[\frac{n}{2}]}(-c)^\delta \frac{n!}{\delta!(n-2\delta)!}(\mathcal{I}_{n-2\delta,\delta} - \mathcal{I}_{n-2\delta,0})s(x^{-2})^\delta \\
&- \sum_{\alpha=1}^{[\frac{n}{2}]-1}\sum_{\delta=\alpha+1}^{[\frac{n}{2}]}\sum_{\beta=1}^{\delta-\alpha}(-1)^\alpha(-c)^\delta\frac{n!}{(n-2\delta)!\beta!} \\
&\times \left(\sum_{\substack{k_1,k_2,\cdots,k_\alpha \geqq 1 \\ k_1+\cdots+k_\alpha=\delta-\beta}} \frac{\delta!}{k_1!k_2!\cdots k_\alpha!}\right) s(x^{-2})^\delta(\mathcal{I}_{n-2\delta,\beta} - \mathcal{I}_{n-2\delta,0}).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

Example

$$\mathcal{I}_2(s) = \mathcal{I}_{2,0} - 2cs(x^{-2})(\mathcal{I}_{0,1} - \mathcal{I}_{0,0}), \tag{5.17}$$

$$\mathcal{I}_3(s) = \mathcal{I}_{3,0} - 6cs(x^{-2})(\mathcal{I}_{1,1} - \mathcal{I}_{1,0}), \tag{5.18}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_4(s) &= \mathcal{I}_{4,0} - 12cs(x^{-2})(\mathcal{I}_{2,1} - \mathcal{I}_{2,0}) \\
&+ 12c^2s(x^{-2})^2(\mathcal{I}_{0,2} - \mathcal{I}_{0,0}) - 24c^2s(x^{-2})^2(\mathcal{I}_{0,1} - \mathcal{I}_{0,0}),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_5(s) &= \mathcal{I}_{5,0} - 20cs(x^{-2})(\mathcal{I}_{3,1} - \mathcal{I}_{3,0}) \\
&+ 60c^2s(x^2)^2(\mathcal{I}_{1,2} - \mathcal{I}_{1,0}) - 120c^2s(x^{-2})^2(\mathcal{I}_{1,1} - \mathcal{I}_{1,0}),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_6(s) &= \mathcal{I}_{6,0} - 30cs(x^{-2})(\mathcal{I}_{4,1} - \mathcal{I}_{4,0}) + 180c^2s(x^{-2})^2(\mathcal{I}_{2,2} - \mathcal{I}_{2,0}) \\
&- 360c^2s(x^{-2})^2(\mathcal{I}_{2,1} - \mathcal{I}_{2,0}) - 120c^3s(x^{-2})^3(\mathcal{I}_{0,3} - \mathcal{I}_{0,0}) \\
&+ 360c^3s(x^{-2})^3(\mathcal{I}_{0,2} - \mathcal{I}_{0,0}) - 360c^3s(x^{-2})^3(\mathcal{I}_{0,1} - \mathcal{I}_{0,0}).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

When we take the limit $s \to 2$, we have

$$\frac{1}{(s-2)^\delta}(\mathcal{I}_{n-2\delta,\beta} - \mathcal{I}_{n-2\delta,0})$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\to & \prod_{j=1}^{n-2\delta}\int_{|z_j|=1}\frac{dz_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_j}\prod_{1\leqq j<k\leqq n-2\delta}h(u_k-u_j)|_{s=2}T^{DV}(z_1)\cdots T^{DV}(z_{n-2\delta})\\
\times & \prod_{j=n-2\delta+1}^{n-2\delta+\beta}\int_{|z_j|=1}\frac{dz_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_j}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)^{\delta}\Bigg|_{s=2}T_2(x^{-1}z_{n-2\delta+1})\cdots T_2(x^{-1}z_{n-2\delta+\beta})\\
\times & \prod_{j=1}^{n-2\delta}\prod_{k=n-2\delta+1}^{n-2\delta+\beta}h_{12}(u_k-u_j)\prod_{n-2\delta+1\leqq j<k\leqq n-2\delta+\beta}h_{22}(u_k-u_j).
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.22}
$$

Simplifications occure for $s=2$.

$$
h_{12}(u)|_{s=2}=1,\quad h_{22}(u)|_{s=2}=1,\quad T_2(z)|_{s=2}=1,\quad \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right|_{s=2}T_2(z)=0,
\tag{5.23}
$$

We conjecture the following.

Conjecture 5.5 *When we take the limit $s\to 2$, we have*

$$
\mathcal{I}_n(s)\to\mathcal{I}_n^{DV},\qquad (s\to 2).
\tag{5.24}
$$

We have checked relation (5.24) for small n, *i.e.*, $1\leqq n\leqq 9$. As a consequence of (5.24), we have the commutation relation $[\mathcal{I}_m^{DV},\mathcal{I}_n^{DV}]=0$ and invariance $\eta\left(\mathcal{I}_n^{DV}\right)=\mathcal{I}_n^{DV}$.

Example (The Virasoro-Poisson algebra)

Let us set two parameters (q,β) by $q=x^{2r},\beta=\frac{r-1}{r}$. When we take the limit $\beta\to 0$ with q fixed, we have the Virasoro-Poisson algebra [6].

$$
\{T_m,T_n\}_{P.B.}=\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}\frac{1-q^l}{1+q^l}T_{n-l}T_{m+l}+(q^n-q^{-n})\delta_{n+m,0}.
\tag{5.25}
$$

In this limit the integral of motion $\mathcal{I}_1^{DV}$ degenerates to the conservation law H_1 in [7].

Example (CFT-limit)

Let us set two parameters (h,β) by $e^h=x^{2r},\beta=\frac{r-1}{r}$. We take the limit $h\to 0$ with β fixed under the following h-expansion

$$
T_n=2\delta_{n,0}+\beta\left(L_n+\frac{(1-\beta)^2}{4\beta}\delta_{n,0}\right)h^2+O(h^4),
\tag{5.26}
$$

we have the defining relation of the Virasoro algebra

$$
[L_m,L_n]=(n-m)L_{m+n}+\frac{c_{CFT}}{12}n(n^2-1)\delta_{n+m,0},\quad c_{CFT}=1-\frac{6(1-\beta)^2}{\beta}.
\tag{5.27}
$$

We call this limit the CFT-limit. In this limit the local integrals of motion $\mathcal{I}_1^{DV},\mathcal{I}_2^{DV}$ reproduce those of CFT I_1,I_3 by [1].

5.2 Nonlocal Integrals of Motion

In what follows we restrict our interst to the case $1 < \mathrm{Re}(s) \leqq 2$.

Definition 5.4 *We set the nonlocal integrals of motion* $\mathcal{G}_n^{DV}$ *for the deformed Virasoro algebra* ($s = 2$) *by*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_n^{DV} &= \int \cdots \int_{I_{Arg}} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{dz_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_j} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{dw_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_j} \\
&\times \frac{F_1^{DV}(z_1)F_0^{DV}(w_1)}{[u_1 - v_1 + 1]_r[v_1 - u_1]_r} \cdots \frac{F_1^{DV}(z_n)F_0^{DV}(w_n)}{[u_n - v_n + 1]_r[v_n - u_n]_r} \\
&\times \prod_{1\leqq i<j\leqq n} \frac{[u_i - u_j]_r[u_i - u_j + 1]_r[v_i - v_j]_r[v_i - v\mathrm{J} + 1]_r}{[u_i - v_j + 1]_r[v_j - u_i]_r[v_i - u_j + 1]_r[u_j - v_i]_r}\, \vartheta_{\alpha,r}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}(u_j - v_j)\right)\Bigg|_{s=2},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.28}$$

where the contour I_{Arg} *are given by*

$$|x^2 w_n|, |x^{2r} w_n| < |z_1| < |w_1| < |z_2| < |w_2| < \cdots < |z_n| < |w_n|. \tag{5.29}$$

Conjecture 5.6 *Upon specialization* $s = 2$ *we have*

$$[\mathcal{G}_n^{DV}, \mathcal{G}_m^{DV}] = 0, \quad \eta(\mathcal{G}_n^{DV}) = \mathcal{G}_n^{DV}, \quad (m, n = 1, 2, \cdots). \tag{5.30}$$

Conjecture 5.7 *Upon specialization* $s = 2$ *we have*

$$[\mathcal{I}_n^{DV}, \mathcal{G}_m^{DV}] = 0, \quad (m, n = 1, 2, \cdots), \tag{5.31}$$

In what follows we give a supporting argument of the above conjecture.

Definition 5.5 *Let us set the the auxiliary operators* $\mathcal{G}_{m,l}$ *by*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_{m,l} &= \int \cdots \int_{\widetilde{I}(m,l)} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{dz_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_j} \prod_{j=1}^{m+l} \frac{dw_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_j} \prod_{1\leqq i<j\leqq m} j_{1,1}(u_i, v_i|u_j, v_j) \\
&\times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=m+1}^{m+l} j_{1,2}(u_i, v_i|v_j) \prod_{m+1\leqq i<j\leqq m+l} j_{2,2}(v_i|v_j) \times \vartheta_{\alpha,r}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m}(u_j - v_j) + l(\frac{s}{2} - 1)\right) \\
&\times \frac{F_1(z_1)F_0(w_1)}{[u_1 - v_1 + \frac{s}{2}]_r[v_1 - u_1 + \frac{s}{2} - 1]_r} \cdots \frac{F_1(z_m)F_0(w_m)}{[u_m - v_m + \frac{s}{2}]_r[v_m - u_m + \frac{s}{2} - 1]_r} \\
&\times\ :F_1(x^{2-s}w_{m+1})F_0(w_{m+1}): \cdots :F_1(x^{2-s}w_{m+l})F_0(w_{m+l}):
\end{aligned} \tag{5.32}$$

Here we have set

$$\begin{aligned} j_{1,1}(u_1,v_1|u_2,v_2) &= \frac{[u_1-u_2]_r[u_1-u_2+1]_r[v_1-v_2]_r[v_1-v_2+1]_r}{[u_1-v_2+\frac{s}{2}]_r[v_2-u_1+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r[v_1-u_2+\frac{s}{2}]_r[u_2-v_1+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r}, \\ j_{1,2}(u_1,v_1|v_2) &= j_{1,1}\left(u_1,v_1\left|v_2+1-\frac{s}{2},v_2\right.\right), \\ j_{2,2}(v_1|v_2) &= j_{1,1}\left(v_1+1-\frac{s}{2},v_1\right|\left.v_2+1-\frac{s}{2},v_2\right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.33)$$

Here the contour $\widetilde{I}(m,l)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{I}(m,l) \ : \ & |x^s w_m|, |x^{2r}w_m| < |z_1| < |w_1| < |z_2| < |w_2| < \cdots < |z_m| < |w_m|, \\ & |x^{2s-2}w_j|, |x^{4-2s}w_j| < |w_i| < |x^{2-2s}w_j|, |x^{2s-4}w_j|, \quad (m+1 \leqq i < j \leqq m+l), \quad (5.34) \\ & |x^s w_j| < |z_i| < |x^{s-2}w_j|, \ |x^{4-2s}w_j| < |w_i| < |x^{2-2s}w_j|, \quad (1 \leqq i \leqq m, \ m+1 \leqq j \leqq m+l). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.8 *The local integrals of motion $\mathcal{G}_n$ are written by linear combination of $\mathcal{G}_{m,l}$.*

$$\mathcal{G}_n = \mathcal{G}_{n,0} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \frac{\{n(n-1)\cdots(n-\alpha+1)\}^2}{\alpha!}\left(\frac{t(s)}{[s-2]_r}\right)^{\alpha} \mathcal{G}_{n-\alpha,\alpha}, \quad (5.35)$$

where we have set

$$t(s) = \frac{x^{-\frac{2r^*}{r}}}{[1]_r}\frac{(x^{2r+2s-4};x^{2r})_\infty (x^{2r-2};x^{2r})_\infty}{(x^{2s-2};x^{2r})_\infty (x^{2r};x^{2r})_\infty}. \quad (5.36)$$

Example

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_1 &= \mathcal{G}_{1,0} + \frac{t(s)}{[s-2]_r}\mathcal{G}_{0,1}, && (5.37) \\ \mathcal{G}_2 &= \mathcal{G}_{2,0} + 4\frac{t(s)}{[s-2]_r}\mathcal{G}_{1,1} + 2\left(\frac{t(s)}{[s-2]_r}\right)^2 \mathcal{G}_{0,2}, && (5.38) \\ \mathcal{G}_3 &= \mathcal{G}_{3,0} + 9\frac{t(s)}{[s-2]_r}\mathcal{G}_{2,1} + 18\left(\frac{t(s)}{[s-2]_r}\right)^2 \mathcal{G}_{1,2} + 6\left(\frac{t(s)}{[s-2]_r}\right)^3 \mathcal{G}_{0,3}. && (5.39) \end{aligned}$$

Definition 5.6 *Let us set "renormalized" nonlocal integrals of motion, $\mathcal{G}_n(s)$ by*

$$\mathcal{G}_n(s) = \mathcal{G}_n + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}\sum_{\beta=\alpha}^{n}(-1)^{\alpha}\left(\frac{t(s)}{[s-2]_r}\right)^{\beta}\left(\frac{n!}{(n-\beta)!}\right)^2 \left(\sum_{\substack{k_1,k_2,\cdots,k_\alpha \geqq 1 \\ k_1+k_2+\cdots+k_\alpha=\beta}} \frac{1}{k_1!k_2!\cdots k_\alpha!}\right)\mathcal{G}_{n-\beta}. \quad (5.40)$$

Proposition 5.9 *We have the commutation relation.*

$$[\mathcal{G}_n(s), \mathcal{G}_m(s)] = 0 \quad (m,n=1,2,\cdots). \quad (5.41)$$

Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_1(s) &= \mathcal{G}_1-\frac{t(s)}{[s-2]_r}\mathcal{G}_0, && (5.42)\\
\mathcal{G}_2(s) &= \mathcal{G}_2-4\frac{t(s)}{[s-2]_r}\mathcal{G}_1+2\left(\frac{t(s)}{[s-2]_r}\right)^2\mathcal{G}_0, && (5.43)\\
\mathcal{G}_3(s) &= \mathcal{G}_3-9\frac{t(s)}{[s-2]_r}\mathcal{G}_2+18\left(\frac{t(s)}{[s-2]_r}\right)^2\mathcal{G}_1-6\left(\frac{t(s)}{[s-2]_r}\right)^3\mathcal{G}_0. && (5.44)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 5.10 *"Renormalized" nonlocal integrals of motion $\mathcal{G}_n(s)$ is written by linear combination of difference $(\mathcal{G}_{m,l}-\mathcal{G}_{m,0})$.*

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_n(s) &= \mathcal{G}_{n,0}+\sum_{\delta=1}^{n}\left(\frac{t(s)}{[s-2]_r}\right)^{\delta}\left(\frac{n!}{(n-\delta)!}\right)^2\frac{1}{\delta!}(\mathcal{G}_{n-\delta,\delta}-\mathcal{G}_{n-\delta,0})\\
&+ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1}\sum_{\delta=\alpha+1}^{n}\sum_{\beta=1}^{\delta-\alpha}(-1)^{\alpha}\left(\frac{t(s)}{[s-2]_r}\right)^{\delta}\left(\frac{n!}{(n-\delta)!}\right)^2\frac{1}{\beta!}\\
&\times \left(\sum_{\substack{k_1,k_2,\cdots,k_\alpha\geqq 1\\ k_1+k_2+\cdots+k_\alpha=\delta-\beta}}\frac{1}{k_1!k_2!\cdots k_\alpha!}\right)(\mathcal{G}_{n-\delta,\beta}-\mathcal{G}_{n-\delta,0}). \qquad (5.45)
\end{aligned}
$$

Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_1(s) &= \mathcal{G}_{1,0}+\frac{t(s)}{[s-2]_r}(\mathcal{G}_{0,1}-\mathcal{G}_{0,0}), && (5.46)\\
\mathcal{G}_2(s) &= \mathcal{G}_{2,0}+4\frac{t(s)}{[s-2]_r}(\mathcal{G}_{1,1}-\mathcal{G}_{1,0})\\
&- 4\left(\frac{t(s)}{[s-2]_r}\right)^2(\mathcal{G}_{0,1}-\mathcal{G}_{0,0})+2\left(\frac{t(s)}{[s-2]_r}\right)^2(\mathcal{G}_{0,2}-\mathcal{G}_{0,0}), && (5.47)\\
\mathcal{G}_3(s) &= \mathcal{G}_{3,0}+9\frac{t(s)}{[s-2]_r}(\mathcal{G}_{2,1}-\mathcal{G}_{2,0})\\
&+ 18\left(\frac{t(s)}{[s-2]_r}\right)^2(\mathcal{G}_{1,2}-\mathcal{G}_{1,0})-36\left(\frac{t(s)}{[s-2]_r}\right)^2(\mathcal{G}_{1,1}-\mathcal{G}_{1,0}) && (5.48)\\
&+ 6\left(\frac{t(s)}{[s-2]_r}\right)^3(\mathcal{G}_{0,3}-\mathcal{G}_{0,0})-18\left(\frac{t(s)}{[s-2]_r}\right)^3(\mathcal{G}_{0,2}-\mathcal{G}_{0,0})\\
&+ 18\left(\frac{t(s)}{[s-2]_r}\right)^3(\mathcal{G}_{0,1}-\mathcal{G}_{0,0}).
\end{aligned}
$$

When we take the limit $s\to 2$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{(s-2)^{\delta}}(\mathcal{G}_{n-\delta,\beta}-\mathcal{G}_{n-\delta,0})
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\to\quad & \int\cdots\int_{\widetilde{I}(n-\delta,\beta)} \prod_{j=1}^{n-\delta}\frac{dz_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_j}\prod_{j=1}^{n+\delta-\beta}\frac{dw_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_j}\prod_{1\leqq i<j\leqq n-\delta} j_{1,1}(u_i,v_i|u_j,v_j)\\
\times\quad & \frac{F_1(z_1)F_0(w_1)}{[u_1-v_1+\frac{s}{2}]_r[v_1-u_1+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r}\cdots\frac{F_1(z_{n-\delta})F_0(w_{n-\delta})}{[u_{n-\delta}-v_{n-\delta}+\frac{s}{2}]_r[v_{n-\delta}-u_{n-\delta}+\frac{s}{2}-1]_r}\\
\times\quad & \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)^{\delta}\Bigg|_{s=2}\prod_{i=1}^{n-\delta}\prod_{j=n-\delta+1}^{n-\delta+\beta} j_{1,2}(u_i,v_i|v_j)\prod_{n-\delta+1\leqq i<j\leqq n-\delta+\beta} j_{2,2}(v_i|v_j)\\
\times\quad & \vartheta_{\alpha,r}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-\delta}(u_j-v_j)+\beta(\frac{s}{2}-1)\right)\\
\times\quad & :F_1(x^{2-s}w_{n-\delta+1})F_0(w_{n-\delta+1}):\cdots:F_1(x^{2-s}w_{n-\delta+\beta})F_0(w_{m-\delta+\beta}):. \qquad (5.49)
\end{aligned}
$$

Simplifications occure for $s=2$.

$$
j_{1,2}(u_1,v_1|v_2)|_{s=2}=1,\quad j_{2,2}(u|v)|_{s=2}=1,\quad :F_1(x^{2-s}w)F_0(w):\big|_{s=2}=id, \qquad (5.50)
$$

We conjecture the following.

Conjecture 5.11 *When we take the limit $s\to 2$, we have*

$$
\mathcal{G}_n(s)\to\mathcal{G}_n^{DV},\quad (s\to 2). \qquad (5.51)
$$

As a consequence of (5.51), we have the commutation relation $[\mathcal{G}_m^{DV},\mathcal{G}_n^{DV}]=0$, $[\mathcal{I}_m^{DV},\mathcal{G}_n^{DV}]=0$ and the invariance $\eta(\mathcal{G}_n^{DV})=\mathcal{G}_n^{DV}$.

Example (CFT-limit)
Let us set two parameters (h,β) by $e^h=x^{2r},\beta=\frac{r-1}{r}$. We call the limit $h\to 0$ with β fixed, the CFT-limit. In this limit the nonlocal integrals of motion, $\mathcal{G}_k^{DV}(k=1,2,\cdots)$ reproduce those of CFT, $Q_k(k=1,2,\cdots)$ by [1].

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Professor M.Jimbo for his useful discussions and interest in this work. We would like to thank Professor V.Bazhanov, Professor A.Belavin, Professor S.Duzhin, Professor E.Frenkel, Professor P.Kulish, and Professor M.Wadati for their interests in this work. B.F.is partly supported by Grant RFBR (05-01-01007), SS (2044.2003.2), and RFBR-JSPS (05-01-02934). T.K. is partly supported by Grant-in Aid for Young Scientist **B** (18740092) from JSPS, and Grant for Research in Abroad **B** from Nihon University. J.S. is partly supported by Grant-in Aid for Scientific

Research **C** (16540183) from JSPS. We would like to dedicate this paper to Professor Masaki Kashiwara on the occasion on the 60th birathday.

A Normal Ordering

We summarize the normal orderings of the currents.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_i(z_1)\Lambda_i(z_2) &= :: (1-z_2/z_1)\frac{(x^2z_2/z_1;x^{2s})_\infty(x^{2r+2s-2}z_2/z_1;x^{2s})_\infty(x^{2s-2r}z_2/z_1;x^{2s})_\infty}{(x^{2s-2}z_2/z_1;x^{2s})_\infty(x^{2r}z_2/z_1;x^{2s})_\infty(x^{2-2r}z_2/z_1;x^{2s})_\infty}, \\
&\qquad\qquad (i=1,2), \qquad \text{(A.1)}\\
\Lambda_1(z_1)\Lambda_2(z_2) &= :: \frac{(x^2z_2/z_1;x^{2s})_\infty(x^{-2r}z_2/z_1;x^{2s})_\infty(x^{2r-2}z_2/z_1;x^{2s})_\infty}{(x^{-2}z_2/z_1;x^{2s})_\infty(x^{2r}z_2/z_1;x^{2s})_\infty(x^{2-2r}z_2/z_1;x^{2s})_\infty}, \qquad \text{(A.2)}\\
\Lambda_2(z_1)\Lambda_1(z_2) &= :: \frac{(x^{2+2s}z_2/z_1;x^{2s})_\infty(x^{2s-2r}z_2/z_1;x^{2s})_\infty(x^{2s+2r-2}z_2/z_1;x^{2s})_\infty}{(x^{2s-2}z_2/z_1;x^{2s})_\infty(x^{2s+2r}z_2/z_1;x^{2s})_\infty(x^{2s+2-2r}z_2/z_1;x^{2s})_\infty}. \qquad \text{(A.3)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_1(z_1)F_1(z_2) &= :\Lambda_1(z_1)F_1(z_2): x^{-2(r-1)}\frac{(1-x^{r-2}z_2/z_1)}{(1-x^{-r}z_2/z_1)}, && \text{(A.4)}\\
F_1(z_1)\Lambda_1(z_2) &= :F_1(z_1)\Lambda_1(z_2): \frac{(1-x^{2-r}z_2/z_1)}{(1-x^{r}z_2/z_1)}, && \text{(A.5)}\\
\Lambda_2(z_1)F_1(z_2) &= :\Lambda_2(z_1)F_1(z_2): x^{2(r-1)}\frac{(1-x^{2-r}z_2/z_1)}{(1-x^{r}z_2/z_1)}, && \text{(A.6)}\\
F_1(z_1)\Lambda_2(z_2) &= :F_1(z_1)\Lambda_2(z_2): \frac{(1-x^{r-2}z_2/z_1)}{(1-x^{-r}z_2/z_1)}, && \text{(A.7)}\\
\Lambda_1(z_1)F_0(z_2) &= :\Lambda_1(z_1)F_0(z_2): x^{2(r-1)}\frac{(1-x^{2-r-s}z_2/z_1)}{(1-x^{r-s}z_2/z_1)}, && \text{(A.8)}\\
F_0(z_1)\Lambda_1(z_2) &= :F_0(z_1)\Lambda_1(z_2): \frac{(1-x^{r+s-2}z_2/z_1)}{(1-x^{-r+s}z_2/z_1)}, && \text{(A.9)}\\
\Lambda_2(z_1)F_0(z_2) &= :\Lambda_2(z_1)F_0(z_2): x^{-2(r-1)}\frac{(1-x^{r+s-2}z_2/z_1)}{(1-x^{-r+s}z_2/z_1)}, && \text{(A.10)}\\
F_0(z_1)\Lambda_2(z_2) &= :F_0(z_1)\Lambda_2(z_2): \frac{(1-x^{2-r-s}z_2/z_1)}{(1-x^{r-s}z_2/z_1)}. && \text{(A.11)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_1(z_1)E_1(z_2) &= :\Lambda_1(z_1)E_1(z_2): x^{2r}\frac{(1-x^{-r-1}z_2/z_1)}{(1-x^{r-1}z_2/z_1)}, && \text{(A.12)}\\
E_1(z_1)\Lambda_1(z_2) &= :E_1(z_1)\Lambda_1(z_2): \frac{(1-x^{r+1}z_2/z_1)}{(1-x^{-r+1}z_2/z_1)}, && \text{(A.13)}\\
\Lambda_2(z_1)E_1(z_2) &= :\Lambda_2(z_1)E_1(z_2): x^{-2r}\frac{(1-x^{r+1}z_2/z_1)}{(1-x^{-r+1}z_2/z_1)}, && \text{(A.14)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$E_1(z_1)\Lambda_2(z_2) = :E_1(z_1)\Lambda_2(z_2):\frac{(1-x^{-r-1}z_2/z_1)}{(1-x^{r-1}z_2/z_1)}, \tag{A.15}$$

$$\Lambda_1(z_1)E_0(z_2) = :\Lambda_1(z_1)E_0(z_2):x^{-2r}\frac{(1-x^{r+1-s}z_2/z_1)}{(1-x^{-r+1-s}z_2/z_1)}, \tag{A.16}$$

$$E_0(z_1)\Lambda_1(z_2) = :E_0(z_1)\Lambda_1(z_2):\frac{(1-x^{-r-1+s}z_2/z_1)}{(1-x^{r-1+s}z_2/z_1)}, \tag{A.17}$$

$$\Lambda_2(z_1)E_0(z_2) = :\Lambda_2(z_1)E_0(z_2):x^{2r}\frac{(1-x^{-r-1+s}z_2/z_1)}{(1-x^{r-1+s}z_2/z_1)}, \tag{A.18}$$

$$E_0(z_1)\Lambda_2(z_2) = :E_0(z_1)\Lambda_2(z_2):\frac{(1-x^{r+1-s}z_2/z_1)}{(1-x^{-r+1-s}z_2/z_1)}, \tag{A.19}$$

$$E_j(z_1)E_j(z_2) = ::z_1^{\frac{2r}{r^*}}(1-z_2/z_1)\frac{(x^{-2}z_2/z_1;x^{2r^*})_\infty}{(x^{2r^*+2}z_2/z_1;x^{2r^*})_\infty}, \tag{A.20}$$

$$E_1(z_1)E_0(z_2) = ::z_1^{-\frac{2r}{r^*}}\frac{(x^{2r^*+s}z_2/z_1;x^{2r^*})_\infty(x^{2r^*+2-s}z_2/z_1;x^{2r^*})_\infty}{(x^{-s}z_2/z_1;x^{2r^*})_\infty(x^{s-2}z_2/z_1;x^{2r^*})_\infty}, \tag{A.21}$$

$$E_0(z_1)E_1(z_2) = ::z_1^{-\frac{2r}{r^*}}\frac{(x^{2r^*+s}z_2/z_1;x^{2r^*})_\infty(x^{2r^*+2-s}z_2/z_1;x^{2r^*})_\infty}{(x^{-s}z_2/z_1;x^{2r^*})_\infty(x^{s-2}z_2/z_1;x^{2r^*})_\infty}, \tag{A.22}$$

$$F_j(z_1)F_j(z_2) = ::x^{\frac{2r^*}{r}}(1-z_2/z_1)\frac{(x^2z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty}{(x^{2r-2}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty}, \tag{A.23}$$

$$F_1(z_1)F_0(z_2) = ::x^{-\frac{2r^*}{r}}\frac{(x^{2r-2+s}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty(x^{2r-s}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty}{(x^sz_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty(x^{2-s}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty}, \tag{A.24}$$

$$F_0(z_1)F_1(z_2) = ::x^{-\frac{2r^*}{r}}\frac{(x^{2r-2+s}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty(x^{2r-s}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty}{(x^sz_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty(x^{2-s}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty}, \tag{A.25}$$

$$F_1(z_1)E_1(z_2) = ::\frac{1}{z_1^2(1-xz_2/z_1)(1-x^{-1}z_2/z_1)}, \tag{A.26}$$

$$E_1(z_1)F_1(z_2) = ::\frac{1}{z_1^2(1-xz_2/z_1)(1-x^{-1}z_2/z_1)}, \tag{A.27}$$

$$F_0(z_1)E_0(z_2) = ::\frac{1}{z_1^2(1-xz_2/z_1)(1-x^{-1}z_2/z_1)}, \tag{A.28}$$

$$E_0(z_1)F_0(z_2) = ::\frac{1}{z_1^2(1-xz_2/z_1)(1-x^{-1}z_2/z_1)}, \tag{A.29}$$

$$F_1(z_1)E_0(z_2) = ::z_1^2(1-x^{s-1}z_2/z_1)(1-x^{1-s}z_2/z_1), \tag{A.30}$$

$$E_0(z_1)F_1(z_2) = ::z_1^2(1-x^{s-1}z_2/z_1)(1-x^{1-s}z_2/z_1), \tag{A.31}$$

$$F_0(z_1)E_1(z_2) = ::z_1^2(1-x^{s-1}z_2/z_1)(1-x^{1-s}z_2/z_1), \tag{A.32}$$

$$E_1(z_1)F_0(z_2) = ::z_1^2(1-x^{s-1}z_2/z_1)(1-x^{1-s}z_2/z_1). \tag{A.33}$$

$$\mathcal{A}(z_1)F_0(z_2) = ::z_1^{-2}\frac{(x^{3r-s}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty(x^{r+s-2}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty}{(x^{r-s+2}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty(x^{-r+s}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty}, \tag{A.34}$$

$$F_0(z_1)\mathcal{A}(z_2) = :: z_1^{-2}\frac{(x^{3r-s}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty(x^{r+s-2}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty}{(x^{r-s+2}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty(x^{-r+s}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty}, \tag{A.35}$$

$$\mathcal{A}(z_1)F_1(z_2) = :: z_1^{\frac{2r^*}{r}}\frac{(x^{-r+2}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty}{(x^{3r-2}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty}, \tag{A.36}$$

$$F_1(z_1)\mathcal{A}(z_2) = :: z_1^{\frac{2r^*}{r}}\frac{(x^{-r+2}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty}{(x^{3r-2}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty}, \tag{A.37}$$

$$\mathcal{A}(z_1)E_0(z_2) = :: z_1^2(1-x^{r+1-s}z_2/z_1)(1-x^{-r+s-1}z_2/z_1), \tag{A.38}$$

$$E_0(z_1)\mathcal{A}(z_2) = :: z_1^2(1-x^{r+1-s}z_2/z_1)(1-x^{-r+s-1}z_2/z_1), \tag{A.39}$$

$$\mathcal{A}(z_1)E_1(z_2) = :: \frac{1}{z_1^2(1-x^{r-1}z_2/z_1)(1-x^{1-r}z_2/z_1)}, \tag{A.40}$$

$$E_1(z_1)\mathcal{A}(z_2) = :: \frac{1}{z_1^2(1-x^{r-1}z_2/z_1)(1-x^{1-r}z_2/z_1)}. \tag{A.41}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(z_1)F_0(z_2) = :: z_1^2(1-x^{s+r^*-1}z_2/z_1)(1-xs^{-r^*+1-s}z_2/z_1), \tag{A.42}$$

$$F_0(z_1)\mathcal{B}(z_2) = :: z_1^2(1-x^{s+r^*-1}z_2/z_1)(1-xs^{-r^*+1-s}z_2/z_1), \tag{A.43}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(z_1)F_1(z_2) = :: \frac{1}{z_1^2(1-x^{r^*+1}z_2/z_1)(1-x^{-r^*-1}z_2/z_1)}, \tag{A.44}$$

$$F_1(z_1)\mathcal{B}(z_2) = :: \frac{1}{z_1^2(1-x^{r^*+1}z_2/z_1)(1-x^{-r^*-1}z_2/z_1)}, \tag{A.45}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(z_1)E_0(z_2) = :: z_1^{-2}\frac{(x^{3r^*}z_2/z_1;x^{2r^*})_\infty(x^{r^*+2}z_2/z_1;x^{2r^*})_\infty}{(x^{r^*-2}z_2/z_1;x^{2r^*};x^{2r^*})_\infty(x^{-r^*}z_2/z_1;x^{2r^*})_\infty}, \tag{A.46}$$

$$E_0(z_1)\mathcal{B}(z_2) = :: z_1^{-2}\frac{(x^{3r^*}z_2/z_1;x^{2r^*})_\infty(x^{r^*+2}z_2/z_1;x^{2r^*})_\infty}{(x^{r^*-2}z_2/z_1;x^{2r^*};x^{2r^*})_\infty(x^{-r^*}z_2/z_1;x^{2r^*})_\infty}, \tag{A.47}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(z_1)E_1(z_2) = :: z_1^{\frac{2r}{r^*}}\frac{(x^{-r^*-2}z_2/z_1;x^{2r^*})_\infty}{(x^{3r^*+2}z_2/z_1;x^{2r^*})_\infty}, \tag{A.48}$$

$$E_1(z_1)\mathcal{B}(z_2) = :: z_1^{\frac{2r}{r^*}}\frac{(x^{-r^*-2}z_2/z_1;x^{2r^*})_\infty}{(x^{3r^*+2}z_2/z_1;x^{2r^*})_\infty}. \tag{A.49}$$

For $\mathrm{Re}(r) > 0$ we have

$$F_0(z_1)H(z_2) = :: z_1^{\frac{2}{r}}\frac{(x^{r+s}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty(x^{r+2-s}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty}{(x^{r+s-2}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty(x^{r-s}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty}, \tag{A.50}$$

$$F_1(z_1)H(z_2) = :: z_1^{-\frac{2}{r}}\frac{(x^{r+2}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty}{(x^{r-2}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty}, \tag{A.51}$$

$$H(z_1)F_0(z_2) = :: z_1^{\frac{2}{r}}\frac{(x^{r+s}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty(x^{r+2-s}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty}{(x^{r+s-2}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty(x^{r-s}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty}, \tag{A.52}$$

$$H(z_1)F_1(z_2) = :: z_1^{-\frac{2}{r}}\frac{(x^{r+2}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty}{(x^{r-2}z_2/z_1;x^{2r})_\infty}. \tag{A.53}$$

For $\mathrm{Re}(r^*) < 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E_0(z_1)H(z_2) &= :: z_1^{-\frac{2}{r^*}} \frac{(x^{-r^*+s}z_2/z_1;x^{-2r^*})_\infty (x^{-r^*+2-s}z_2/z_1;x^{-2r^*})_\infty}{(x^{-r^*+s-2}z_2/z_1;x^{-2r^*})_\infty (x^{-r^*-s}z_2/z_1;x^{-2r^*})_\infty}, && \text{(A.54)}\\
E_1(z_1)H(z_2) &= :: z_1^{\frac{2}{r^*}} \frac{(x^{-r^*+2}z_2/z_1;x^{-2r^*})_\infty}{(x^{-r^*-2}z_2/z_1;x^{-2r^*})_\infty}, && \text{(A.55)}\\
H(z_1)E_0(z_2) &= :: z_1^{-\frac{2}{r^*}} \frac{(x^{-r^*+s}z_2/z_1;x^{-2r^*})_\infty (x^{-r^*+2-s}z_2/z_1;x^{-2r^*})_\infty}{(x^{-r^*+s-2}z_2/z_1;x^{-2r^*})_\infty (x^{-r^*-s}z_2/z_1;x^{-2r^*})_\infty}, && \text{(A.56)}\\
H(z_1)E_1(z_2) &= :: z_1^{\frac{2}{r^*}} \frac{(x^{-r^*+2}z_2/z_1;x^{-2r^*})_\infty}{(x^{-r^*-2}z_2/z_1;x^{-2r^*})_\infty}. && \text{(A.57)}
\end{aligned}$$

References

[1] V.Bazhanov, S.Lukyanov, Al.Zamolodchikov : Integral Structure of Conformal Field Theory, Quantum KdV Theory and Thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz *Commun.Math.Phys.***177**, 381-398, (1996). V.Bazhanov, S.Lukyanov, Al.Zamolodchikov : Integrable Structure of Conformal Field Theory III, The Yang Baxter Relation *Commun.Math.Phys.***200**, 297-324, (1999).

[2] B.Feigin and E.Frenkel : Integrals of Motion and Quantum Groups, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics***1620** *Integral Systems and Quantum Groups*, 1995.

[3] J.Shiraishi, H.Kubo, H.Awata, S.Odake: A Quantum Deformation of the Virasoro Algebra and the Macdonald Symmetric Functions, *Lett.Math.Phys.***38**, 647-666, (1996).

[4] B.Feigin and E.Frenkel : Quantum W-Algebra and Elliptic Algebras, *Commun.Math.Phys.***178**, 653-678 (1996).

[5] B.Feigin and A.Odesskii : A Family of Elliptic Algebras, *Internat.Math.Res.Notices* no.11, 531-539, (1997).

[6] E.Frenkel and N.Reshetikhin : Quantum affine algebras and deformations of the Virasoro and W-algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.***178**, 237-264, (1996).

[7] E.Frenkel : Deformations of the KdV hierarchy and related soliton equations, *Internat.Math.Res.Notices*, no.2, 55-76, (1996).

[8] B.Feigin, T.Kojima, J.Shiraishi, H.Watanabe : The Integrals of Motion for the Deformed W-Algebra $W_{q,t}(\widehat{sl_N})$, *Proceedings for Reresentation Theory* 2006, Atami, Japan, .102-114, (2006) [ISBN4-9902328-2-8]